## Petri nets - Homework 2

Due 17.05.2017

## Exercise 2.1

(a) Give a Petri net $\mathcal{N}$ and two markings $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ such that $M \leq M^{\prime},(\mathcal{N}, M)$ is bounded, and $\left(\mathcal{N}, M^{\prime}\right)$ is not bounded.
(b) Give a Petri net $\mathcal{N}$ and two markings $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ such that $M \leq M^{\prime},(\mathcal{N}, M)$ is deadlock-free, and $\left(\mathcal{N}, M^{\prime}\right)$ is not deadlock-free.
(c) Consider the following Petri net $\mathcal{N}$ (with weighted $\operatorname{arcs}$ ):


Give markings $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ such that $M \leq M^{\prime},(\mathcal{N}, M)$ is live, and $\left(\mathcal{N}, M^{\prime}\right)$ is deadlock-free but not live.

## Exercise 2.2

Let $\mathcal{N}=(P, T, W)$ be a Petri net with weighted arcs. Let $M, M^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}^{P}, \sigma, \sigma^{\prime} \in T^{*}$ and $t \in T$ be such that $M \xrightarrow{\sigma t \sigma^{\prime}} M^{\prime}$. Prove or disprove the following statements:
(a) if $t$ does not consume any token, i.e $W(p, t)=0$ for every $p \in P$, then $M \xrightarrow{t \sigma \sigma^{\prime}} M^{\prime}$.
(b) if $t$ consumes no more tokens than it produces, i.e $W(p, t) \leq W(t, p)$ for every $p \in P$, then $M \xrightarrow{t \sigma \sigma^{\prime}} M^{\prime}$.
(c) if $t$ does not produce any token, i.e. $W(t, p)=0$ for every $p \in P$, then $M \xrightarrow{\sigma \sigma^{\prime} t} M^{\prime}$.
(d) if $t$ produces no more tokens than it consumes, i.e. $W(t, p) \leq W(p, t)$ for every $p \in P$, then $M \xrightarrow{\sigma \sigma^{\prime} t} M^{\prime}$.

## Exercise 2.3

(a) Recall that 3-SAT is the problem of determining the satisfiabillity of a Boolean formula, in conjunctive normal form, whose clauses have at most three literals. It is well-known that 3-SAT is NP-complete. Give a polynomial time reduction from 3-SAT to Petri net coverability. You can simply illustrate your reduction for the formula $\varphi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\left(x_{1} \vee x_{2} \vee x_{4}\right) \wedge\left(\neg x_{1} \vee x_{3} \vee \neg x_{4}\right) \wedge\left(\neg x_{2} \vee \neg x_{3} \vee \neg x_{4}\right)$ by extending the following partial Petri net in such a way that $\varphi$ is satisfiable if and only if $\{q\}$ is coverable:


(b) Adapt your previous reduction to boundedness instead of coverability.
(c) $\star$ Give a polynomial time reduction from coverability to reachability. [Hint:
(d) $\star$ Prove that the reduction you gave in (c) is correct. [Hint:

## Exercise 2.4

Consider the following Petri net $\mathcal{N}=(P, T, F)$ :

(a) Draw a coverability graph for $\left(\mathcal{N},\left\{p_{1}\right\}\right)$.
(b) Is $\left(\mathcal{N},\left\{p_{1}\right\}\right)$ bounded? If so, why? If not, which places are bounded?
(c) Describe the set of markings coverable from $\left\{p_{1}\right\}$.

## Exercise 2.5

The algorithm Coverability-Graph does not specify how the coverability graph should be traversed during its construction. Show that different traversal strategies can lead to different coverability graphs. More precisely, exhibit a marking $M$ and two different coverability graphs for $(\mathcal{N}, M)$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is the following Petri net:


## Solution 2.1

(a) The following Petri net is bounded from the empty marking since its reachability set is empty. However, it is not bounded from $\{p\}$ since repetitively firing $t$ increases the number of tokens in $q$.

(b) The following Petri net is deadlock-free from $\{p\}$ since $s$ is always enabled. However, it is not deadlock-free from $\{p, q\}$ since $\{p, q\} \xrightarrow{t}\{r\}$ and $\{r\}$ is dead.

(c) $\mathcal{N}$ is live from $M=\{q, q, q\}$, since the reachability graph of $(\mathcal{N}, M)$ is strongly connected and it enables all transitions:


Let us build the reachability graph of $\left(\mathcal{N}, M^{\prime}\right)$ where $M^{\prime}=\{q, q, q, q\}$ :

$\mathcal{N}$ is deadlock-free from $M^{\prime}$, since each marking of the reachability graph enables a transition. However, $\mathcal{N}$ is not live from $M^{\prime}$, since the bottom strongly connected component colored in blue only enables $r$.

## Solution 2.2

(a) True. Let $A, A^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}^{P}$ be such that $M \xrightarrow{\sigma} A \xrightarrow{t} A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\prime}} M^{\prime}$. Since $W(p, t)=0$ for every $p \in P, t$ is enabled at any marking. In particular, $A^{\prime}-A \geq \mathbf{0}$. Thus, $M \xrightarrow{t} M+\left(A^{\prime}-A\right)$ and, by monotonicity, $M+\left(A^{\prime}-A\right) \xrightarrow{\sigma} A+\left(A^{\prime}-A\right)$. Therefore,

$$
M \xrightarrow{t} M+\left(A^{\prime}-A\right) \xrightarrow{\sigma} A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\prime}} M^{\prime}
$$

(b) False. Consider the following Petri net:


We have $0 \xrightarrow{s t} 1$ and $W(p, t)=W(t, p)$, yet $t s$ cannot be fired from 0 .
(c) True. The proof is symmetric to (a).
(d) False. Consider the following Petri net:


We have $1 \xrightarrow{t s} 0$ and $W(t, p)=W(p, t)$, yet $t s$ cannot be fired from 1 .

Solution 2.3
(a)

(b)

(c) $\star$ Given a Petri net, we make it lossy by adding, for each place $p$, a transition $s_{p}$ that consumes a token from $p$ :

original Petri net

transformed Petri net

More formally, given a Petri net with weighted $\operatorname{arcs} \mathcal{N}=(P, T, W)$, we build the Petri net $\mathcal{N}^{\prime}=\left(P, T^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{\prime} & =T \cup\left\{s_{p}: p \in P\right\}, \\
W^{\prime}(t, p) & = \begin{cases}W(t, p) & \text { if } t \in T, \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
W^{\prime}(p, t) & = \begin{cases}W(p, t) & \text { if } t \in T, \\
-1 & \text { if } t=s_{p}, \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

We claim that for every $M, M^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}^{P}, M^{\prime}$ is coverable in $(\mathcal{N}, M)$ if and only if $M^{\prime}$ is reachable in $\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}, M\right)$.
(d) $\star$ We prove the above claim. Let $M, M^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}^{P}$.
$\Rightarrow)$ Suppose that $M^{\prime}$ is coverable in $(\mathcal{N}, M)$. There exist $M^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{N}^{P}$ and $\sigma \in T^{*}$ such that $M^{\prime \prime} \geq M^{\prime}$ and $M \xrightarrow{\sigma} M^{\prime \prime}$ in $\mathcal{N}$. This implies that $M \xrightarrow{\sigma} M^{\prime \prime}$ in $\mathcal{N}^{\prime}$. Since $M^{\prime \prime} \geq M^{\prime}$, we have $M^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{*} M^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{N}^{\prime}$ by decreasing the number of tokens accordingly. Therefore, $M \xrightarrow{*} M^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{*} M^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{N}^{\prime}$.
$\Leftarrow)$ Suppose that $M^{\prime}$ is reachable in $\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}, M\right)$. There exists $\sigma \in\left(T^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ such that $M \xrightarrow{\sigma} M^{\prime}$. By definition of $\mathcal{N}^{\prime}$, for every $t \in T^{\prime} \backslash T$ and $p \in P$, we have $W(t, p)=0$. Thus, by $\# 2.1$ (c), all transitions of $T^{\prime} \backslash T$ occurring in $\sigma$ can be moved to the end. More formally, there exists $\pi \in T^{*}, \pi^{\prime} \in\left(T^{\prime} \backslash T\right)^{*}$ and $M^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{N}^{P}$ such that $\sigma=\pi \pi^{\prime}$ and

$$
M \xrightarrow{\pi} M^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime}} M^{\prime} .
$$

Since $\pi^{\prime}$ does not produce any token, we have $M^{\prime \prime} \geq M^{\prime}$. Moreover, $M \xrightarrow{\pi} M^{\prime \prime}$ is a firing sequence of $\mathcal{N}$ since $\pi \in T^{*}$. Therefore, $M^{\prime \prime}$ is coverable in $(\mathcal{N}, M)$.

## Solution 2.4

(a) The following is a coverability graph where nodes are labeled with respect to the total order $p_{1}<p_{2}<$ $p_{3}<p_{4}$ :

(b) It is not bounded since some markings of the graph contain $\omega$. Places $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ are bounded because no marking of the graph contains an $\omega$ in the three first components.
$\star$ This can also be tested with LoLA as follows:

```
> lola pn_2-4.lola -f "AG (p1 < oo)" --search=cover
lola: result: yes
lola: The net satisfies the given formula.
```

> lola pn_2-4.lola -f "AG (p2 < oo)" --search=cover
lola: result: yes
lola: The net satisfies the given formula.
> lola pn_2-4.lola -f "AG (p3 < oo)" --search=cover
lola: result: yes
lola: The net satisfies the given formula.
> lola pn_2-4.lola -f "AG (p4 < oo)" --search=cover
lola: result: no
lola: The net does not satisfy the given formula.
(c) $\left\{M \in \mathbb{N}^{P}: M\left(p_{1}\right)+M\left(p_{2}\right)+M\left(p_{3}\right)=1\right\}$.

## Solution 2.5

Let $M=\left\{p_{1}\right\}$. We exhibit two coverability graphs for $(\mathcal{N}, M)$, where nodes are labeled with respect to the total order $p_{1}<p_{2}$. We construct the first coverability graph by first exploring the path $t_{2} t_{3} t_{1} t_{1}$ :


For the second coverability graph, we first explore the path $t_{2} t_{1} t_{3}$ :


Note that the subprocedure AddOmegas generates $(\omega, \omega)$ after exploring $t_{2} t_{1} t_{3}$ because, at this point, both $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ are "ancestors" of the current node labeled by $(1,1)$.

