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Construct the coverability graph of the Petri net below.


## Solution:



## Exercise 2

Reduce the coverability problem to the reachability problem.
For that, describe an algorithm that, given a Petri net ( $N, M_{0}$ ) and a marking $M$, constructs a Petri net ( $N^{\prime}, M_{0}^{\prime}$ ) and a marking $M^{\prime}$ such that $M^{\prime}$ is reachable in $N^{\prime}$ from $M_{0}^{\prime}$ if and only if $M$ is coverable in $N$ from $M_{0}$. The algorithm should run in polynomial time. You don't have to describe $N^{\prime}$ formally.
Give a brief argument showing that your construction is correct, i.e. show that if $M$ is coverable in $N$ from $M_{0}$, then $M^{\prime}$ is reachable in $N^{\prime}$ from $M_{0}^{\prime}$, and if $M^{\prime}$ is reachable in $N^{\prime}$ from $M_{0}^{\prime}$, then $M$ is coverable in $N$ from $M_{0}$.

## Solution:

Informal answer (sufficient for full points):
Let $N^{\prime}$ be a copy of $N$ and for each place of $N$, add a transition to $N^{\prime}$ with that place as its only input place and no output places. Let the initial marking and target marking for $N^{\prime}$ be the same as for $N$, i.e. $M_{0}^{\prime}=M_{0}$ and $M^{\prime}=M$.
If $M$ is coverable in $N$ by some marking $M_{1} \geq M$, then we can also reach $M_{1}$ in $N^{\prime}$, and fire the additional transitions to reduce tokens until we reach $M=M^{\prime}$ in $N^{\prime}$.

On the other hand, if $M^{\prime}$ is reachable in $N^{\prime}$, then we can execute the sequence to reach $M^{\prime}$ without firing the additional transitions. That sequence is also enabled in $N$ at $M_{0}$ and leads to a marking $M_{1} \geq M^{\prime}=M$, so $M$ is coverable in $N$.
Formal answer (given for clarity):
Define the net $N^{\prime}=\left(S^{\prime}, T^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right)$ with $S^{\prime}=S, T^{\prime}=T \uplus\left\{t_{s} \mid s \in S\right\}$ and $F^{\prime}=F \cup\left\{\left(s, t_{s}\right) \mid s \in S\right\}$ and the markings $M_{0}^{\prime}=M_{0}$ and $M^{\prime}=M$. Below is a sketch of the construction:


If $M$ is coverable in $N$ from $M_{0}$, then there is a marking $M_{1}$ and an occurrence sequence $\sigma$ with $M_{0} \xrightarrow{\sigma} M_{1}$ in $N$ and $M_{1} \geq M$. Then also $M_{0}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sigma} M_{1}$ in $N^{\prime}$. From $M_{1}$, for each $s \in S$, we can fire $t_{s}$ exactly $M_{1}(s)-M(s)$ times. This yields our target marking $M=M^{\prime}$, so $M^{\prime}$ is reachable in $N^{\prime}$ from $M_{0}^{\prime}$.
On the other hand, if $M^{\prime}$ is reachable in $N^{\prime}$ from $M_{0}^{\prime}$, then there is an occurrence sequence $\sigma$ with $M_{0}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sigma} M^{\prime}$ in $N^{\prime}$. Let $\tau$ be the occurrence sequence obtained from $\sigma$ by removing all occurrences of $t_{s}$ for $s \in S$. As every $t_{s}$ only removes tokens in $N^{\prime}$, by the monotonicity property of Petri nets, $\tau$ is also enabled at $M_{0}^{\prime}$ in $N^{\prime}$ and as $\tau$ only contains transitions from $T$, it is also enabled at $M_{0}$ in $N$. This yields $M_{0} \xrightarrow{\tau} M_{1}$ in $N$ for some marking $M_{1}$ with $M_{1} \geq M^{\prime}=M$, so $M$ is coverable in $N$ from $M_{0}$.

## Exercise 3

(a) Exhibit a net having a positive T-invariant but no positive S-invariant.
(b) Exhibit a net having a positive S-invariant but no positive T-invariant.
(c) Exhibit a net with a minimal siphon containing two input places of the same transition.

## Solution:

(a) In the following net, $J=(1,1)$ is a positive T-invariant, but any S-invariant $I$ has to satisfy $I\left(s_{1}\right)=0$, therefore there is no positive S -invariant.

(b) In the following net, $I=(1,1)$ is a positive S -invariant, but any T-invariant $J$ has to satisfy $J\left(t_{1}\right)=0$, therefore there is no positive T-invariant.

(c) In the following net, $R=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$ is a minimal siphon with $\left|R \cap{ }^{\bullet} t_{3}\right|=2$.


## Exercise 4

Consider the following Petri net:

(a) Give a basis of the space of S-invariants of the net.
(b) Find all three minimal traps of the net.
(c) Use (a) and (b) to show that $s_{2}$ and $s_{5}$ are mutually exclusive, i.e. there is no reachable marking $M$ with $M\left(s_{2}\right) \geq 1$ and $M\left(s_{5}\right) \geq 1$.

## Solution:

(a) Any S-invariant $I$ needs to satisfy:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{1}: \quad I\left(s_{2}\right)=I\left(s_{1}\right) \\
& t_{2}: \quad I\left(s_{1}\right)+I\left(s_{3}\right)=I\left(s_{2}\right)+I\left(s_{4}\right) \\
& t_{3}: \quad I\left(s_{3}\right)=I\left(s_{4}\right) \\
& t_{4}: \quad I\left(s_{1}\right)+I\left(s_{3}\right)=I\left(s_{1}\right)+I\left(s_{5}\right) \\
& t_{5}: \quad I\left(s_{5}\right)=I\left(s_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From these constraints, we can derive $I\left(s_{1}\right)=I\left(s_{2}\right)$ and $I\left(s_{3}\right)=I\left(s_{4}\right)=I\left(s_{5}\right)$. Any S-invariant is defined by specifying $I\left(s_{1}\right)$ and $I\left(s_{3}\right)$, giving us two invariants for the basis, for instance $I_{1}=(1,1,0,0,0)$ and $I_{2}=(0,0,1,1,1)$.
(b) For any semi-positive S-invariant $I$, the set of places $s$ with $I(s)>0$ form a trap. From $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$, we obtain the traps $R_{1}=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$ and $R_{2}=\left\{s_{3}, s_{4}, s_{5}\right\}$, which are already minimal.
The third trap can be found with the trap constraints, as any trap $R$ needs to satisfy:

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{1}: & s_{2} \in R \Longrightarrow s_{1} \in R \\
t_{2}: & s_{1} \in R \vee s_{3} \in R \Longrightarrow s_{2} \in R \vee s_{4} \in R \\
t_{3}: & s_{4} \in R \Longrightarrow s_{3} \in R \\
t_{4}: & s_{1} \in R \vee s_{3} \in R \Longrightarrow s_{1} \in R \vee s_{5} \in R \\
t_{5}: & s_{5} \in R \Longrightarrow s_{4} \in R
\end{aligned}
$$

By the implications, we see that if $s_{2}$ or $s_{5}$ are in the trap, then we obtain a superset of $R_{1}$ or $R_{2}$. Therefore, if we look for a trap without $s_{2}$ and $s_{5}$, then the only satisfying assignment for a proper trap is $R_{3}=\left\{s_{1}, s_{3}, s_{4}\right\}$, which is the last minimal trap.
(c) Let $M$ be a reachable marking. From $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$, we obtain the positive $S$-invariant $I_{3}=I_{1}+I_{2}=(1,1,1,1,1)$, and as $M \cdot I_{3}=M_{0} \cdot I_{3}$, we get $M\left(s_{1}\right)+M\left(s_{2}\right)+M\left(s_{3}\right)+M\left(s_{4}\right)+M\left(s_{5}\right)=2$. From $R_{3}$, as $M_{0}\left(R_{3}\right) \geq 1$, we get $M(R) \geq 1$ and therefore $M\left(s_{1}\right)+M\left(s_{3}\right)+M\left(s_{4}\right) \geq 1$. In combination, we get $M\left(s_{2}\right)+M\left(s_{5}\right) \leq 1$, which shows mutual exclusion.

## Exercise 5

(a) Prove: If $\left(N, M_{0}\right)$ is a live and bounded Petri net, then $N$ has a positive T-invariant.
(b) Prove: If $\left(N, M_{0}\right)$ is a live and bounded free-choice system and $M_{0}^{\prime} \geq M_{0}$, then $\left(N, M_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ is also live and bounded.
(c) Prove: Let $N$ be a net and $M$ a marking of $N$. The equation $M=\mathbf{N} \cdot X$ has a nonnegative integer solution $X: T \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ iff there is marking $M_{0}$ of $N$ such that $M+M_{0}$ is reachable from $M_{0}$ in $N$.
Note: $M+M_{0}$ is defined as the marking with $\left(M+M_{0}\right)(s)=M(s)+M_{0}(s)$.

## Solution:

(a) Let $\left(N, M_{0}\right)$ be a live and bounded Petri net. By liveness there is an infinite occurrence sequence $\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \ldots$ such that every $\sigma_{i}$ is a finite occurrence sequence containing all transitions of $N$. We have

$$
M_{0} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{1}} M_{1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{2}} M_{2} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{3}} \cdots .
$$

By boundedness there are indices $i<j$ such that $M_{i}=M_{j}$. So the sequence $\sigma_{i+1} \ldots \sigma_{j}$ satisfies

$$
M_{i} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i+1} \ldots \sigma_{j}} M_{i}
$$

and so $J=\vec{\sigma}_{i+1}+\cdots+\vec{\sigma}_{j}$ is a T-invariant of $N$. Further, $J$ is positive because every transition occurs at least once in $\sigma_{i+1} \ldots \sigma_{j}$.
(b) Let $\left(N, M_{0}\right)$ be a live and bounded free-choice system and $M_{0}^{\prime} \geq M_{0}$.

By Commoner's Liveness Theorem, every proper siphon of $N$ contains a trap marked at $M_{0}$. As $M_{0}^{\prime} \geq M_{0}$, every such trap is also marked at $M_{0}^{\prime}$, therefore the system ( $N, M_{0}^{\prime}$ ) is also live.
By Hack's Boundedness Theorem, every place of $N$ belongs to an S-component, therefore ( $N, M_{0}^{\prime}$ ) is also bounded.
$(c)(\Rightarrow)$ : Let $X$ be a nonnegative integer solution of $M=\mathbf{N} \cdot X$. Then let $M_{0}$ be a marking sufficiently large to consecutively enable all transitions $t \in T$ exactly $X(t)$ times in some order. Clearly, such a marking exists. Let $\sigma$ be a corresponding occurrence sequence enabled at $M_{0}$ with $\vec{\sigma}=X$. We then have $M_{0} \xrightarrow{\sigma} M_{1}$ for some marking $M_{1}$ and with the marking equation, we have $M_{1}=M_{0}+\mathbf{N} \cdot \vec{\sigma}=M_{0}+\mathbf{N} \cdot X=M_{0}+M$, so $M_{0}+M$ is reachable from $M_{0}$.
$(\Leftarrow)$ : Let $M_{0}$ be a marking of $N$ such that $M+M_{0}$ is reachable from $M_{0}$ in $N$. Then there is an occurence sequence $\sigma$ with $M_{0} \xrightarrow{\sigma} M+M_{0}$. With the marking equation, we have $M+M_{0}=M_{0}+\mathbf{N} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ and, by subtracting $M_{0}$ from both sides, $M=\mathbf{N} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$. So $X:=\vec{\sigma}$ is a nonnegative integer solution of $M=\mathbf{N} \cdot X$.

