

**Proposition 1.** *For every LTL formula  $\varphi$ , the following holds:*

(a)  $\sigma \models \mathbf{F}\varphi \iff \exists i \sigma^i \models \varphi$ ,

(b)  $\sigma \models \mathbf{G}\varphi \iff \forall i \sigma^i \models \varphi$ ,

(c)  $\mathbf{XF}\varphi = \mathbf{FX}\varphi$ ,

(d)  $\mathbf{XG}\varphi = \mathbf{GX}\varphi$ ,

(e)  $\mathbf{FF}\varphi = \mathbf{F}\varphi$ ,

(f)  $\mathbf{GG}\varphi = \mathbf{G}\varphi$ ,

(g)  $\mathbf{FGF}\varphi = \mathbf{GF}\varphi$ ,

(h)  $\mathbf{GFG}\varphi = \mathbf{FG}\varphi$ .

*Proof.*

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma \models \mathbf{F}\varphi &\iff \exists i (\sigma^i \models \varphi \wedge \forall k < i \sigma^k \models \mathbf{true}) \\ &\iff \exists i (\sigma^i \models \varphi \wedge \forall k < i \mathbf{true}) \\ &\iff \exists i \sigma^i \models \varphi.\end{aligned}\quad \square$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma \models \mathbf{G}\varphi &\iff \sigma \models \neg\mathbf{F}\neg\varphi \\ &\iff \neg(\sigma \models \mathbf{F}\neg\varphi) \\ &\iff \neg(\exists i \sigma^i \models \neg\varphi) \\ &\iff \neg(\exists i \neg(\sigma^i \models \varphi)) \\ &\iff \forall i \sigma^i \models \varphi.\end{aligned}\quad \square$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma \models \mathbf{XF}\varphi &\iff \sigma^1 \models \mathbf{F}\varphi \\ &\iff \exists i (\sigma^1)^i \models \varphi \\ &\iff \exists i (\sigma^i)^1 \models \varphi \\ &\iff \exists i \sigma^i \models \mathbf{X}\varphi \\ &\iff \sigma \models \mathbf{FX}\varphi.\end{aligned}\quad \square$$

(d)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{XG}\varphi &\equiv \mathbf{X}\neg\mathbf{F}\neg\varphi \\ &\equiv \neg\mathbf{XF}\neg\varphi \\ &\equiv \neg\mathbf{FX}\neg\varphi \\ &\equiv \neg\mathbf{F}\neg\mathbf{X}\varphi \\ &\equiv \mathbf{GX}\varphi.\end{aligned}\quad \square$$

(e)

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma \models \mathbf{FF}\varphi &\iff \exists i \sigma^i \models \mathbf{F}\varphi \\ &\iff \exists i (\exists j (\sigma^i)^j \models \varphi) \\ &\iff \exists i, j \sigma^{i+j} \models \varphi \\ &\iff \exists k \sigma^k \models \varphi \\ &\iff \sigma \models \mathbf{F}\varphi.\end{aligned}\quad \square$$

(f)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{GG}\varphi &\equiv \neg\mathbf{F}\neg(\neg\mathbf{F}\neg\varphi) \\ &\equiv \neg\mathbf{FF}\neg\varphi \\ &\equiv \neg\mathbf{F}\neg\varphi \\ &\equiv \mathbf{G}\varphi.\end{aligned}\quad \square$$

(g) Note that  $\sigma \models \mathbf{GF}\varphi$  trivially implies  $\sigma \models \mathbf{FGF}\varphi$ . Assume  $\sigma \models \mathbf{FGF}\varphi$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma \models \mathbf{FGF}\varphi &\iff \exists i \forall j \exists k \sigma^{i+j+k} \models \varphi \\ &\implies \forall j \exists k \sigma^{j+(i+k)} \models \varphi \\ &\implies \forall j \exists \ell \sigma^{j+\ell} \models \varphi \\ &\iff \sigma \models \mathbf{GF}\varphi.\end{aligned}\quad \square$$

(h)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{GFG}\varphi &\equiv \neg\mathbf{F}\neg\mathbf{F}\neg\mathbf{F}\neg\varphi \\ &\equiv \neg\mathbf{FGF}\neg\varphi \\ &\equiv \neg\mathbf{GF}\neg\varphi \\ &\equiv \mathbf{FG}\varphi.\end{aligned}\quad \square$$