Technische Universität München (I7) J. Esparza / M. Blondin / P. Ashok Summer Semester 2018 28.06.2018

Model Checking – Exercise sheet 10

Exercise 10.1

Create a NuSMV model for the following Kripke structure over $AP = \{p, q\}$:



Use NuSMV to model check each of the following formulas. Explain in word if the formula holds, or give a counterexample otherwise.

- (a) **EG** p,
- (b) AX AF EG p,
- (c) $p \mathbf{AU} q$,
- (d) $\mathbf{AG}(p \to \mathbf{AX} \ p)$,
- (e) $\mathbf{EX}(\neg q \land (\neg p \mathbf{EU} q)).$

Exercise 10.2

Model the following stack system in NuSMV:

The stack system consists of three input interfaces: push, pop, in_val; and one output interface: out_val. The values of push and pop can be either true or false, while in_val and out_val can take any number between 0 and 9.

When push is true, the system takes the input from in_val and pushes it onto its internal stack. When pop is true, the system removes the value on the top of the stack and outputs it via out_val. It is forbidden to call push and pop at the same time. The size of the stack is 5, i.e. the stack is full if there are 5 pushes without a pop. When the stack is full, it ignores push and in_val. Similarly, the system ignores pop when the stack is empty. The value of out_val is undefined if the stack is empty or pop is false. Write the following properties in CTL and use NuSMV to model check the formulas:

- (a) The stack cannot be empty and full at the same time.
- (b) There exists a path along which the stack is eventually always full.
- (c) From any given point of time, there always exists a path in which the stack will be full.
- (d) The stack cannot be empty after a push.
- (e) The internal stack is correctly updated after a push or pop.
- (f) Whenever the stack is full, there exists a path in which the stack stays full forever or it remains full until a pop.
- (g) For every push, there exists a path that pops the value without pushing another value.
- (h) After every pop, out_val holds the correct value.

Exercise 10.3

Let $\mathcal{K} = (S, \rightarrow, r, AP, \nu)$ be a Kripke structure. For every $X \subseteq S$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and CTL formulas φ and ψ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^0_{\varphi,\psi}(X) &= X, \\ \xi^{i+1}_{\varphi,\psi}(X) &= \llbracket \psi \rrbracket \cup \left(\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \cap \operatorname{pre}(\xi^i_{\varphi,\psi}(X))\right). \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Show that if $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket \varphi' \rrbracket$, $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket \psi' \rrbracket$ and $X \subseteq X'$, then $\xi^i_{\varphi,\psi}(X) \subseteq \xi^i_{\varphi',\psi'}(X')$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (b) Show that if $(\varphi \Rightarrow \varphi') \land (\psi \Rightarrow \psi')$, then $(\varphi \mathbf{EU} \psi) \Rightarrow (\varphi' \mathbf{EU} \psi')$, $\mathbf{EF}\varphi \Rightarrow \mathbf{EF}\varphi'$ and $\mathbf{AG}\varphi \Rightarrow \mathbf{AG}\varphi'$.

```
Solution 10.1
MODULE main
VAR
  state : {s0, s1, s2, s3};
ASSIGN
  init(state) := s0;
 next(state) :=
    case
      state = s0 : {s1, s2};
      state = s1 : s3;
      state = s2 : {s0, s1, s2};
      state = s3 : s2;
    esac;
DEFINE
 p := state = s0 | state = s1 | state = s2;
  q := state = s1;
SPEC
 EG p
SPEC
 AX AF EG p
SPEC
 A [p U q]
SPEC
 AG (p \rightarrow AX p)
SPEC
 EX (!q & E [!p U q])
Solution 10.2
MODULE main
VAR
  op : 0..2;
  in_val : 0..9;
  out_val : 0..9;
 ptr : 0..5;
  arr : array 0..4 of 0..9;
FROZENVAR
  i : 0..4;
  x : 0..9;
DEFINE
  empty := (ptr = 0);
  full := (ptr = 5);
  push := (op = 0);
```

```
:= (op = 1);
  рор
ASSIGN
  init(ptr) := 0;
  next(ptr) := case
                 push & !full : ptr + 1;
                 pop & !empty : ptr - 1;
                 TRUE : ptr;
               esac;
  next(arr[0]) := push & ptr = 0 ? in_val : arr[0];
  next(arr[1]) := push & ptr = 1 ? in_val : arr[1];
  next(arr[2]) := push & ptr = 2 ? in_val : arr[2];
  next(arr[3]) := push & ptr = 3 ? in_val : arr[3];
  next(arr[4]) := push & ptr = 4 ? in_val : arr[4];
  next(out_val) := case
                     pop & !empty : arr[ptr - 1];
                     TRUE : out_val;
                   esac;
-- (a) The stack cannot be empty and full at the same time.
SPEC
 AG !(empty & full)
-- (b) There exists a path along which the stack is eventually always full.
SPEC
 EF EG full
-- (c) From any given point of time, there always exists a path in
-- which the stack will be full.
SPEC
 AG EF full
-- (d) The stack cannot be empty after a push.
SPEC
  AG (push -> AX !empty)
-- (e) The internal stack is correctly updated after a push or a pop.
SPEC
  AG ((push & !full & in_val = x & ptr = i) -> (AX (arr[i] = x)))
SPEC
  AG ((push & !full & ptr = i) -> (AX (ptr = i + 1)))
```

SPEC AG ((pop & !empty & ptr = i) -> (AX (ptr = i - 1))) SPEC AG ((push & ptr >= 4) -> (AX full)) SPEC AG ((pop & ptr <= 1) -> (AX empty)) -- (f) Whenever the stack is full, there exists a path in which the -- stack stays full forever or it remains full until a pop. SPEC AG (full -> ((EG full) | E[full U pop])) -- (g) For every push, there exists a path that pops the value without -- pushing another value. SPEC AG (push -> EX E[!push U pop]) -- (h) After every pop, out_val holds the correct value SPEC AG ((pop & !empty & arr[ptr - 1] = x) \rightarrow (AX (out_val = x)))

Solution 10.3

(a) We prove the claim by induction on *i*. The validity of the base case follows from $\xi^0_{\varphi,\psi}(X) = X \subseteq X' = \xi^0_{\varphi',\psi'}(X')$. Assume the claim holds for i > 0. Let $x \in \xi^{i+1}_{\varphi,\psi}(X)$. By definition of ξ , we have

$$x \in \llbracket \psi \rrbracket \cup \left(\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \cap \operatorname{pre}(\xi_{\varphi,\psi}^i(X)) \right).$$

If $x \in \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$, then $x \in \llbracket \psi' \rrbracket$ and hence $x \in \xi_{\varphi',\psi'}^{i+1}(X')$ in which case we are done. Thus, assume $x \in \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \cap \operatorname{pre}(\xi_{\varphi,\psi}^i(X))$. There exists $y \in \xi_{\varphi,\psi}^i(X)$ such that $x \to y$. By induction hypothesis, $\xi_{\varphi,\psi}^i(X) \subseteq \xi_{\varphi',\psi'}^i(X')$. Thus, $y \in \xi_{\varphi',\psi'}^i(X')$ and hence $x \in \operatorname{pre}(\xi_{\varphi',\psi'}^i(X'))$. Moreover, $x \in \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket \varphi' \rrbracket$. Therefore, $x \in \xi_{\varphi',\psi'}^{i+1}(X')$.

(b) If $(\varphi \Rightarrow \varphi') \land (\psi \Rightarrow \psi')$, then $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket \varphi' \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$. As seen in class, there exist $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\llbracket \varphi \ \mathbf{EU} \ \psi \rrbracket = \xi^{\ell}_{\varphi,\psi}(\emptyset) \qquad \text{for every } \ell \ge i,$$
$$\llbracket \varphi' \ \mathbf{EU} \ \psi' \rrbracket = \xi^{\ell}_{\varphi',\psi'}(\emptyset) \qquad \text{for every } \ell \ge j.$$

Let $k = \max(i, j)$. We have:

$$\llbracket \varphi \mathbf{E} \mathbf{U} \psi \rrbracket = \xi_{\varphi,\psi}^k(\emptyset)$$
$$\subseteq \xi_{\varphi',\psi'}^k(\emptyset) \qquad (by (a))$$
$$= \llbracket \varphi' \mathbf{E} \mathbf{U} \psi' \rrbracket.$$

This means that $(\varphi \mathbf{EU} \psi) \Rightarrow (\varphi' \mathbf{EU} \psi')$. By taking $\varphi = \varphi' = \mathsf{true}$, it also follows that $\mathbf{EF}\varphi \Rightarrow \mathbf{EF}\varphi'$.

It remains to show that $\mathbf{AG}\varphi\Rightarrow\mathbf{AG}\varphi'$ holds. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{G}\varphi \Rightarrow \mathbf{A}\mathbf{G}\varphi') &\equiv (\neg \mathbf{A}\mathbf{G}\varphi \lor \mathbf{A}\mathbf{G}\varphi') \\ &\equiv (\neg \neg \mathbf{E}\mathbf{F}\neg \varphi \lor \neg \mathbf{E}\mathbf{F}\neg \varphi') \\ &\equiv (\mathbf{E}\mathbf{F}\neg \varphi \lor \neg \mathbf{E}\mathbf{F}\neg \varphi') \\ &\equiv (\mathbf{E}\mathbf{F}\neg \varphi' \Rightarrow \mathbf{E}\mathbf{F}\neg \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Now, observe that $\llbracket \neg \varphi' \rrbracket = \overline{\llbracket \varphi' \rrbracket} \subseteq \overline{\llbracket \varphi' \rrbracket} = \llbracket \neg \varphi \rrbracket$ since $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket \varphi' \rrbracket$. Thus, $\mathbf{EF} \neg \varphi' \Rightarrow \mathbf{EF} \neg \varphi$ holds which completes the proof.