Summer Semester 2018 19.04.2018

Model Checking – Exercise sheet 2

Exercise 2.1

Let $\varphi = \mathbf{GF}p \to \mathbf{FG}(q \lor r)$ and $\psi = (r \cup \mathbf{X}p) \cup (q \land \neg \mathbf{XX}s)$ be LTL formulas over the atomic propositions $AP = \{p, q, r, s\}$. Say whether the following sequences satisfy φ and ψ . Justify your answers.

 (a) \emptyset^{ω} (f) $\{r\}\emptyset\{p,q,s\}^{\omega}$

 (b) $\{p,q,r,s\}^{\omega}$ (g) $\{r\}\emptyset(\{p,q\}\{r,s\})^{\omega}$

 (c) $\{p\}^{\omega}$ (h) $\{r\}\emptyset\{p\}\{q,r\}(\{p,s\}\emptyset)^{\omega}$

 (d) $\{q\}^{\omega}$ (i) $\{r\}\emptyset\{p\}\{p,q,r\}(\{s\}\emptyset)^{\omega}$

 (e) $\{p,q\}^{\omega}$ (j) $\{q,r\}\emptyset\{p,q\}\emptyset\{r,s\}^{\omega}$

Exercise 2.2

Let $AP = \{s, r, g\}$ be actions of a process: sending a message, receiving a message, and giving a result, respectively. Specify the following properties in LTL, and give example sequences that satisfy and violate the formulas.

- (a) The process always gives a result.
- (b) The process stops communicating after giving its result.
- (c) The process sends infinitely many messages.
- (d) The process only gives a result once.
- (e) The process receives a message after it sends one.
- (f) The process does nothing until it receives a message.

Exercise 2.3

Let $AP = \{p, q\}$. An LTL formula is a tautology if it is satisfied by all sequences over 2^{AP} . Which of the following LTL formulas are tautologies? Justify each answer with a counterexample or a proof.

- (a) $\mathbf{G}p \to \mathbf{F}p$ (b) $\mathbf{G}(p \to q) \to (\mathbf{G}p \to \mathbf{G}q)$ (c) $\neg(p \mathbf{U} q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p \mathbf{U} \neg q)$
- (c) $\mathbf{FG}p \lor \mathbf{FG}\neg p$ (f) $(\mathbf{G}p \to \mathbf{F}q) \leftrightarrow (p \mathbf{U} (p \lor q))$

Solution 2.1

- (a) $\emptyset^{\omega} \models \mathbf{GF}p \to \mathbf{FG}(q \lor r)$ since $\emptyset^{\omega} \not\models \mathbf{GF}p$ which follows from the fact that p does not occur infinitely often (or at all).
 - $\emptyset^{\omega} \not\models (r \mathbf{U} \mathbf{X} p) \mathbf{U} (q \land \neg \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X} s)$ since q never holds.
- (b) $\{p, q, r, s\}^{\omega} \models \mathbf{GF}p \to \mathbf{FG}(q \lor r)$ since p occurs infinitely often and q eventually always occur.
 - $\{p, q, r, s\}^{\omega} \not\models (r \mathbf{U} \mathbf{X} p) \mathbf{U} (q \land \neg \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X} s)$ since $\neg \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X} s$ never holds.
- (c) $\{p\}^{\omega} \not\models \mathbf{GF}p \to \mathbf{FG}(q \lor r)$ since $\{p\}^{\omega} \models \mathbf{GF}p$ but $\{p\}^{\omega} \not\models \mathbf{FG}(q \lor r)$. The former follows from the fact that p occurs infinitely often, and the latter from the fact that q and r never occur.
 - $\{p\}^{\omega} \not\models (r \mathbf{U} \mathbf{X} p) \mathbf{U} (q \land \neg \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X} s)$ since q never occurs.
- (d) $\{q\}^{\omega} \models \mathbf{GF}p \to \mathbf{FG}(q \lor r)$ since $\{q\}^{\omega} \not\models \mathbf{GF}p$ which follows from the fact that p does not occur infinitely often (or at all).
 - $\{q\}^{\omega} \models (r \ \mathbf{U} \ \mathbf{X}p) \ \mathbf{U} \ (q \land \neg \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}s)$ since $(q \land \neg \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}s)$ holds already at the first position of the sequence.
- (e) $\{p,q\}^{\omega} \models \mathbf{GF}p \to \mathbf{FG}(q \lor r)$ since p occurs infinitely often and q (eventually) always occur.
 - $\{p,q\}^{\omega} \models (r \cup \mathbf{X}p) \cup (q \land \neg \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}s)$ since $(q \land \neg \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}s)$ holds already at the first position of the sequence.
- (f) $\{r\} \emptyset \{p, q, s\}^{\omega} \models \mathbf{GF}p \to \mathbf{FG}(q \lor r)$ since p occurs infinitely often and q eventually always occur.
 - $\{r\} \emptyset \{p, q, s\}^{\omega} \not\models (r \mathbf{U} \mathbf{X} p) \mathbf{U} (q \land \neg \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X} s)$ since $(q \land \neg \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X} s)$ never holds.
- (g) $\{r\} \emptyset(\{p,q\}\{r,s\})^{\omega} \not\models \mathbf{GF}p \to \mathbf{FG}(q \lor r)$ since p occurs infinitely often, and from position 2 onwards it is always the case that either q or r holds.

- $\{r\}\emptyset(\{p,q\}\{r,s\})^{\omega} \models (r \ \mathbf{U} \ \mathbf{X}p) \ \mathbf{U} \ (q \land \neg \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}s)$ since the left-hand side of the topmost \mathbf{U} holds at the two first positions, and the right-hand side holds at the third position. In more details:
 - $\{r\} \emptyset(\{p,q\}\{r,s\})^{\omega} \models r \mathbf{U} \mathbf{X}p$ since r holds at the first position and $\mathbf{X}p$ holds at the second position,
 - $\emptyset(\{p,q\}\{r,s\})^{\omega} \models r \mathbf{U} \mathbf{X}p$ since $\mathbf{X}p$ holds at the first position,
 - $(\{p,q\}\{r,s\})^{\omega} \models q \land \neg \mathbf{XX}s$ since q occurs at the first position and s does not occur at the third position.
- (h) $\{r\}\emptyset\{p\}\{q,r\}(\{p,s\}\emptyset)^{\omega} \not\models \mathbf{GF}p \to \mathbf{FG}(q \lor r)$ since p occurs infinitely often but neither q nor r eventually always occur.
 - $\{r\}\emptyset\{p\}\{q,r\}(\{p,s\}\emptyset)^{\omega} \not\models (r \cup \mathbf{X}p) \cup (q \land \neg \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}s)$ since $q \land \neg \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}s$ only holds at the fourth position and $r \cup \mathbf{X}p$ does not hold at the third position.
- (i) $\{r\}\emptyset\{p\}\{p,q,r\}(\{s\}\emptyset)^{\omega} \models \mathbf{GF}p \to \mathbf{FG}(q \lor r)$ since p does not occur infinitely often.
 - $\{r\}\emptyset\{p\}\{p,q,r\}(\{s\}\emptyset)^{\omega} \models (r \cup \mathbf{X}p) \cup (q \land \neg \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}s)$ since the left-hand side of the topmost U holds at the three first positions, and the right-hand side holds at the fourth position. In more details:
 - $\{r\} \emptyset \{p\} \{p, q, r\} (\{s\} \emptyset)^{\omega} \models r \mathbf{U} \mathbf{X} p \text{ since } r \text{ occurs at the first position and } \mathbf{X} p \text{ holds at the second position,}$
 - $\emptyset\{p\}\{p,q,r\}(\{s\}\emptyset)^{\omega} \models r \mathbf{U} \mathbf{X}p \text{ since } \mathbf{X}p \text{ holds at the first position},$
 - $\{p\}\{p,q,r\}(\{s\}\emptyset)^{\omega} \models r \mathbf{U} \mathbf{X}p \text{ since } \mathbf{X}p \text{ holds at the first position,}$
 - $\{p, q, r\}(\{s\}\emptyset)^{\omega} \models q \land \neg \mathbf{XX}s$ since q occurs at the first position and s does not occur at the third position.
- (j) $\{q, r\} \emptyset \{p, q\} \emptyset \{r, s\}^{\omega} \models \mathbf{GF}p \to \mathbf{FG}(q \lor r)$ since p does not occur infinitely often.
 - $\{q, r\} \emptyset \{p, q\} \emptyset \{r, s\}^{\omega} \models (r \cup \mathbf{X}p) \cup (q \land \neg \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}s)$ since $q \land \neg \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}s$ already holds at the first position, i.e. q occurs at the first position and s does not occur at the

third position.

Solution 2.2

In the following table, σ and σ' are two example sequences such that $\sigma \models \varphi$ and $\sigma' \not\models \varphi$.

	arphi	σ	σ'
(a)	$\mathbf{F}g$	$\{g\} \emptyset^{\omega}$	$ \emptyset^{\omega} $
(b)	$\mathbf{G}(g \to \mathbf{G}(\neg s \land \neg r))$	$\{g\} \emptyset^\omega$	$\{g,s\} \emptyset^\omega$
	or if "after" is strict		
	$\mathbf{G}(g \to \mathbf{XG}(\neg s \land \neg r))$	$\{g\} \emptyset^\omega$	$\{g\}\{s\}\emptyset^{\omega}$
(c)	$\mathbf{GF}s$	$(\{s\}\{r\})^\omega$	$\{s\}\{s\}\{s\}\emptyset^\omega$
(d)	$\mathbf{F}g\wedge \mathbf{G}(g\rightarrow \mathbf{X}\mathbf{G}\neg g)$	$\{g\} \emptyset^\omega$	$\{g\}\{g\}\emptyset^{\omega}$
(e)	$\mathbf{G}(s \to \mathbf{XF}r)$	$(\{s\}\{r\})^\omega$	$\{s\} \emptyset^{\omega}$
(f)	$(\neg s \land \neg g) \mathbf{W} r$	$\{r\}\{g\}^{\omega}$	$\{g\}^{\omega}$

Solution 2.3

(a) $\mathbf{G}p \to \mathbf{F}p$ is a tautology since

$$\mathbf{G}p \to \mathbf{F}p \equiv \neg \mathbf{F} \neg p \to \mathbf{F}p$$
$$\equiv \mathbf{F} \neg p \lor \mathbf{F}p$$
$$\equiv \mathbf{F}(\neg p \lor p)$$
$$\equiv \mathbf{F}true$$
$$\equiv true.$$

(b) $\mathbf{G}(p \to q) \to (\mathbf{G}p \to \mathbf{G}q)$ is a tautology. For the sake of contradiction, suppose this is not the case. There exists σ such that

$$\sigma \models \mathbf{G}(p \to q), \text{ and}$$
 (1)

$$\sigma \not\models (\mathbf{G}p \to \mathbf{G}q). \tag{2}$$

By (2), we have

 $\sigma \models \mathbf{G}p, \text{ and} \\ \sigma \not\models \mathbf{G}q.$

Therefore, there exists $k \ge 0$ such that $p \in \sigma(k)$ and $q \notin \sigma(k)$ which contradicts (1).

- (d) $\neg \mathbf{F}p \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\neg \mathbf{F}p$ is a tautology since $\varphi \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\varphi$ is a tautology for every formula φ .
- (e) $\neg(p \mathbf{U} q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p \mathbf{U} \neg q)$ is not a tautology. Let $\sigma = \{p\}\{q\}^{\omega}$. We have $\sigma \not\models \neg(p \mathbf{U} q)$ and $\sigma \models \neg p \mathbf{U} \neg q$.
- (f) $(\mathbf{G}p \to \mathbf{F}q) \leftrightarrow (p \mathbf{U} (p \lor q))$ is not a tautology. Let $\sigma = \emptyset\{p,q\}^{\omega}$. We have $\sigma \models \mathbf{G}p \to \mathbf{F}q$ and $\sigma \not\models (p \mathbf{U} (p \lor q))$.