

## Model Checking – Sample Solution 2

---

### Exercise 2.1

For  $\phi = \mathbf{GF}p$  and  $\psi = \mathbf{FG}(p \wedge \neg q \rightarrow (r \mathbf{U} s))$ :

$\sigma$	$\sigma \models \phi$	$\sigma \models \psi$
$\emptyset^\omega$	no	yes
$\{p\}^\omega$	yes	no
$\{p, q\}^\omega$	yes	yes
$\{p\}\{q\}^\omega$	no	yes
$(\{p\}\{q\})^\omega$	yes	no
$(\{p\}\{p, q\})^\omega$	yes	no
$(\{p\}\{r\})^\omega$	yes	no
$(\{q\}\{s\})^\omega$	no	yes
$(\{p, s\}\{q\})^\omega$	yes	yes
$(\{p\}\{q\}\{r\}\{s\})^\omega$	yes	no
$(\{p, q\}\{q\}\{r\}\{s\})^\omega$	yes	yes
$(\{p, r\}\{q\}\{r\}\{s\})^\omega$	yes	no
$(\{p, r\}\{q, r\}\{r\}\{r, s\})^\omega$	yes	yes

### Exercise 2.2

In the following table,  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  are two example sequences such that  $\sigma \models \phi$  and  $\sigma' \not\models \phi$ .

$\phi$	$\sigma$	$\sigma'$
1. $\mathbf{F}g$	$\{g\}\emptyset^\omega$	$\emptyset^\omega$
2. $\mathbf{G}(g \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(\neg s \wedge \neg r))$ or if “after” is strict $\mathbf{G}(g \rightarrow \mathbf{XG}(\neg s \wedge \neg r))$	$\{g\}\emptyset^\omega$	$\{g, s\}\emptyset^\omega$
3. $\mathbf{GF} s$	$(\{s\}\{r\})^\omega$	$\{g\}\{s\}\emptyset^\omega$
4. $\mathbf{F}g \wedge \mathbf{G}(g \rightarrow \mathbf{XG} \neg g)$	$\{g\}\emptyset^\omega$	$\{g\}\{g\}\emptyset^\omega$
5. $\mathbf{G}(s \rightarrow \mathbf{XF}r)$	$(\{s\}\{r\})^\omega$	$\{s\}\emptyset^\omega$
6. $(\neg s \wedge \neg g) \mathbf{W} r$	$\{r\}\{g\}^\omega$	$\{g\}^\omega$

### Exercise 2.3

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma \models \mathbf{G}\phi &\Leftrightarrow \sigma \models \neg \mathbf{F}\neg\phi \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \sigma \models \neg(\mathbf{true} \mathbf{U} \neg\phi) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \neg \exists i : (\sigma^i \models \neg\phi \wedge \forall k < i : \sigma^k \models \mathbf{true}) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \forall i : (\sigma^i \models \phi \vee \neg \forall k < i : \sigma^k \models \mathbf{true}) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \forall i : \sigma^i \models \phi
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma \models \phi \mathbf{R} \psi &\Leftrightarrow \sigma \models \neg(\neg\phi \mathbf{U} \neg\psi) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \neg\exists i : (\sigma^i \models \neg\psi \wedge \forall k < i : \sigma^k \models \neg\phi) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \forall i : (\sigma^i \models \psi \vee \neg(\forall k < i : \sigma^k \models \neg\phi)) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \forall i : ((\forall k < i : \sigma^k \models \neg\phi) \rightarrow (\sigma^i \models \psi)) \\
\sigma \models \neg(\phi \mathbf{U} \psi) &\Leftrightarrow \sigma \models \neg\neg(\neg\phi \mathbf{R} \neg\psi) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \sigma \models \neg\phi \mathbf{R} \neg\psi \\
\sigma \models \phi \mathbf{U} \psi &\Leftrightarrow \exists i : (\sigma^i \models \psi \wedge \forall k < i : \sigma^k \models \phi) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \exists i : (i = 0 \wedge \sigma^i \models \psi \vee i > 0 \wedge \sigma^i \models \psi \wedge \forall k < i : \sigma^k \models \phi) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \exists i : (i = 0 \wedge \sigma^i \models \psi) \vee (\exists i > 0 \wedge \sigma^i \models \psi \wedge \forall k < i : \sigma^k \models \phi) \\
&\Leftrightarrow (\sigma^0 \models \psi) \vee (\exists i > 0 \wedge \sigma^i \models \psi \wedge \sigma^0 \models \phi \wedge \forall 0 < k < i : \sigma^k \models \phi) \\
&\Leftrightarrow (\sigma^0 \models \psi) \vee (\sigma^0 \models \phi \wedge \exists i' \wedge \sigma^{i'+1} \models \psi \wedge \forall k < i' : \sigma^{k+1} \models \phi) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \psi \vee (\phi \wedge \mathbf{X}(\phi \mathbf{U} \psi)) \\
\sigma \models \phi \mathbf{R} \psi &\Leftrightarrow \sigma \models \neg(\neg\phi \mathbf{U} \neg\psi) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \neg(\exists i : \sigma^i \models \neg\psi \wedge \forall k < i : \sigma^k \models \neg\phi) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \forall i : (\sigma^i \models \psi \vee \exists k < i : \sigma^k \models \phi) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \forall i : (\sigma^i \models \neg\psi \rightarrow \exists k < i : \sigma^k \models \phi) \quad (*)
\end{aligned}$$

**Case  $\sigma \models \mathbf{G} \psi$**  Obviously, both  $\sigma \models \mathbf{G} \psi \vee \dots$  and  $\forall i : (\sigma^i \models \psi \vee \dots)$  are true.

**Case  $\sigma \models \neg \mathbf{G} \psi$**  Let  $j$  be the smallest position where  $\psi$  does not hold:

$$\sigma \models \neg \mathbf{G} \psi \Rightarrow \exists j : \sigma^j \models \neg\psi \wedge \forall k < j : \sigma^k \models \psi$$

Using the above fact, we prove the equivalence (\*) from both directions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall i : (\sigma^i \models \neg\psi \rightarrow \exists k < i : \sigma^k \models \phi) &\Rightarrow \exists k : ((\sigma^k \models \phi \wedge \psi) \wedge \forall k' < k : \sigma^{k'} \models \psi) \\
&\Rightarrow \psi \mathbf{U} (\phi \wedge \psi)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi \mathbf{U} (\phi \wedge \psi) &\Rightarrow \exists i : ((\sigma^i \models \phi \wedge \psi) \wedge \forall k < i : \sigma^k \wedge \psi) \\
&\Rightarrow \exists j : (\sigma^j \models \neg\psi \wedge \exists i < j : ((\sigma^i \models \phi \wedge \psi) \wedge \forall k < j : \sigma^k \wedge \psi)) \\
&\quad (\text{we know that such } j \text{ exists because } \sigma \models \neg \mathbf{G} \phi) \\
&\Rightarrow \forall j : (\sigma^j \models \neg\psi \rightarrow \exists k < j : \sigma^k \models \phi)
\end{aligned}$$

#### Exercise 2.4

From the definition:  $\mathcal{K}_2 \models \phi$  iff  $\forall \sigma \in \llbracket \mathcal{K}_2 \rrbracket : \sigma \models \phi$ . Since  $\rightarrow_1 \subseteq \rightarrow_2$ , we know that all paths in  $\mathcal{K}_1$  are also paths in  $\mathcal{K}_2$ :

$$\forall \sigma : \sigma \in \llbracket \mathcal{K}_1 \rrbracket \rightarrow \sigma \in \llbracket \mathcal{K}_2 \rrbracket$$

Therefore,  $\forall \sigma \in \llbracket \mathcal{K}_1 \rrbracket : \sigma \models \phi$ , which means  $\mathcal{K}_1 \models \phi$ .