Technische Universität München 17 J. Esparza / A. Durand-Gasselin

6.06.2014

## Model Checking – Exercise sheet 5.1

## **Exercise 5.1:** $a \mathcal{U}(b \mathcal{U} c)$ and $(a \mathcal{U} b) \mathcal{U} c$

Let  $\varphi_1 = (p \wedge q) \mathcal{U}((p \wedge \neg q) \mathcal{U}(\neg p \wedge q))$  and  $\varphi_2 = ((p \wedge q) \mathcal{U}(p \wedge \neg q)) \mathcal{U}(\neg p \wedge q)$ two LTL formulas over the set of propositional variables  $\{p, q\}$ .

- 1. Are these LTL formulas equivalent ?
- 2. We denote  $a = \{p, q\}, b = \{p\}, c = \{q\}, d = \emptyset$ . Write  $\omega$ -regular expression  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  that describes infinite words over alphabet  $\{a, b, c\}$  that validate  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ .
- 3. Give a Büchi automaton accepting each of those languages.
- 4. Given a Büchi automaton  $\mathcal{A} = (Q, Q_0, F, \Delta)$  over  $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$ . We denote  $L_q^{\mathcal{A}}$  the language of infinite words accepted starting from state q in the automaton  $\mathcal{A}$ . Which of the following statements hold:
  - If  $L_{q_1} \subseteq L_{q_2}$ ,  $(q_3, \alpha, q_1) \in \Delta$  and  $(q_3, \alpha, q_2) \in \Delta$ , then removing  $(q_3, \alpha, q_1)$  does not modify the language accepted by  $\mathcal{A}$ .
  - If  $L_{q_1} \subseteq L_{q_2}$ ,  $(q_3, \alpha, q_1) \in \Delta$  and  $(q_3, \alpha, q_2) \in \Delta$ , and  $q_1$  is not an accepting state, then removing  $(q_3, \alpha, q_2)$  does not modify the language accepted by  $\mathcal{A}$ .
  - There exists a set of transitions of  $\mathcal{A}$  (i.e. a subset of  $\Delta$ ), such that a word w is in  $L(\mathcal{A})$  iff there exists a run of w in  $\mathcal{A}$  that fires infinitely often one of the transitions in that set.
  - If a state q has no successor, then it can be removed, and all transitions mapping to that state can also be removed. This process can be iterated without modifying the language.
- 5. We will now apply the LTL to Büchi translation for those formulas. In order to obtain more concise automata, we suppress  $(\neg p \land \neg q)$  transitions (we disallow d). Describe the set of states.
- 6. Assume  $\varphi_1$  is present in one state: what is required for this state to have an outgoing transition ?

- 7. Assume  $\varphi_1$  is not present in one state (i.e.  $\neg \varphi_1$ ) is present in that state: what is required for this state to have an outgoing transition ?
- 8. Same questions for  $\varphi_2$  and other non-trivial subformulas of  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ .
- 9. Which states in these automata do not have any outgoing transition ?
- 10. Build the automata over  $\Sigma$ , translation of  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ .

**Exercise 5.2:**  $\mathbf{G}(a \to ((a \lor b) \ \mathcal{U} \ c))$ 

Using the previous exercise notation, we consider the LTL formula  $\psi = \mathbf{G}(a \rightarrow ((a \lor b) \ \mathcal{U} \ c)).$ 

- 1. Which are the subformulas of  $\psi$  ?
- 2. Prove the following claim: states which do not imply formula  $a \to ((a \lor b) \ \mathcal{U} \ c))$  can be removed.
- 3. Can we perform a similar trick when translating an  $\mathbf{F}$  formula?
- 4. Build the Büchi automaton accepting the language described by  $\psi$ .