

# Model Checking, SS2011: Exercise Sheet 2

May 11, 2011

**Exercise 2.1** Apply the following substitutions.

1.  $(y > 0 \wedge \forall x.y = x)[x/y]$
2.  $(y > 0 \wedge \forall x.y = x)[y/x]$
3.  $(\exists x.y = x)[x + 1/y]$

**Exercise 2.2** Prove or refute the following propositions.

1.  $(\forall x.x = y + 1) \equiv \forall z.z = y + 1$
2.  $(\forall x.x = y + 1)[x/w] \equiv \forall z.(z = y + 1)[x/w]$
3.  $(\forall x.x = y + 1)[w/y] \equiv \forall x.(x = y + 1)[w/y]$
4.  $((\forall x.x = 1)[x + 1/x]) \equiv \forall x.x = 1$

**Exercise 2.3** Let  $t, s, s'$  denote terms. Let  $x$  and  $y$  denote variables. Prove or refute  $(t[s/x])[s'/y] = t[s/x, s'/y]$ .

**Exercise 2.4** Let  $F$  and  $G$  be formulas. Prove or refute the validity of the following formulas. Note: We enumerate the free variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of  $F$  by  $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

1.  $pc = 2 \vee pc = 3 \rightarrow pc = 2 \vee pc = 1$
2.  $\exists x''.x = x''$
3.  $(y' \geq z' \wedge y = y' \wedge z = z') \equiv y \geq z$
4.  $\top \wedge F \equiv F$
5.  $(\exists x''.y \geq z \wedge x = x'') \equiv (y \geq z \wedge \exists x''.x = x'')$
6.  $(y' \geq z' \wedge y = y' \wedge z = z') \equiv (y \geq z \wedge y = y' \wedge z = z')$
7.  $(\exists x.F(x) \wedge G(x)) \equiv (\exists x.F(x)) \wedge (\exists x.G(x))$

8.  $(\exists x.F(x) \vee G(x)) \equiv (\exists x.F(x)) \vee (\exists x.G(x))$
9.  $(\exists x\exists y.F(x) \wedge G(y)) \equiv (\exists x.F(x)) \wedge (\exists y.G(y))$
10.  $(\exists x.F(x)) \equiv (\exists x\exists x.F(x))$
11.  $\exists x.x = 1 \wedge x = 2$

**Exercise 2.5** Give an equivalent quantifier-free formula for each of following formulas. Note 1: we denote  $\exists x\exists y\exists z.F$  by  $\exists x, y, z.F$ . Note 2: Keep in mind that  $F \wedge G \vee E = (F \wedge G) \vee E$ .

1.  $\exists pc', x', y', z'. pc' = 1 \wedge pc = 2 \wedge y' \geq z' \wedge x = x' \wedge y = y' \wedge z = z'$
2.  $\exists pc', x', y', z'. pc' = 1 \wedge pc' = 2 \wedge pc = 2 \wedge y' < z' \wedge x = x' + 1 \wedge y = y' \wedge z = z'$
3.  $\exists pc', x', y', z'. (pc' = 2 \wedge y' \geq z' \vee pc' = 1) \wedge pc' = 1 \wedge pc = 2 \wedge y' \geq z' \wedge x = x' \wedge y = y' \wedge z = z'$
4.  $\exists pc', x', y', z'. (pc' = 2 \wedge y' \geq z' \vee pc' = 3 \wedge y' \geq z' \wedge x' \geq y' \vee pc' = 1) \wedge pc' = 1 \wedge pc = 2 \wedge y' \geq z' \wedge x = x' \wedge y = y' \wedge z = z'$
5.  $\exists pc', x', y', z'. (pc' = 2 \wedge y' \geq z' \vee pc' = 3 \wedge y' \geq z' \wedge x' \geq y' \vee pc' = 1) \wedge pc' = 3 \wedge pc = 4 \wedge x' \geq z' \wedge x = x' \wedge y = y' \wedge z = z'$
6.  $\exists pc', x', y', z'. (pc' = 2 \wedge y' \geq z' \vee pc' = 3 \wedge y' \geq z' \wedge x' \geq y' \vee pc' = 1) \wedge pc' = 3 \wedge pc = 5 \wedge x' + 1 \geq z' \wedge x = x' \wedge y = y' \wedge z = z'$

**Exercise 2.6** Consider the program  $P = (X, pc, T, \varphi_{init}, \varphi_{err})$  where

- $X = \{x, y, z\}$
- $T = \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_5\}$
- $\varphi_{init} = \top$
- $\varphi_{err} = (pc = l_5)$
- $\rho_1 = (pc = l_1 \wedge pc' = l_2 \wedge y \geq z \wedge x' = x \wedge y' = y \wedge z' = z)$
- $\rho_2 = (pc = l_2 \wedge pc' = l_2 \wedge x < y \wedge x' = x + 1 \wedge y' = y \wedge z' = z)$
- $\rho_3 = (pc = l_2 \wedge pc' = l_3 \wedge x \geq y \wedge x' = x \wedge y' = y \wedge z' = z)$
- $\rho_4 = (pc = l_3 \wedge pc' = l_4 \wedge x \geq z \wedge x' = x \wedge y' = y \wedge z' = z)$
- $\rho_5 = (pc = l_3 \wedge pc' = l_5 \wedge x < z \wedge x' = x \wedge y' = y \wedge z' = z)$

Apply the Forward-Symbolic-Reachability (FSR) algorithm to decide whether the program is safe or not. Note: a program is safe if the formula  $C$  returned by FSR is such that  $\neg\exists x, y, z, pc.C \wedge \varphi_{err}$ .