

Equivalences

Theorem. Let F and G be arbitrary formulas.

$$(1) \quad \neg\forall xF \equiv \exists x\neg F$$

$$\neg\exists xF \equiv \forall x\neg F$$

(2) If x does not occur free in G then:

$$(\forall xF \wedge G) \equiv \forall x(F \wedge G)$$

$$(\forall xF \vee G) \equiv \forall x(F \vee G)$$

$$(\exists xF \wedge G) \equiv \exists x(F \wedge G)$$

$$(\exists xF \vee G) \equiv \exists x(F \vee G)$$

$$(3) \quad (\forall xF \wedge \forall xG) \equiv \forall x(F \wedge G)$$

$$(\exists xF \vee \exists xG) \equiv \exists x(F \vee G)$$

$$(4) \quad \forall x\forall yF \equiv \forall y\forall xF$$

$$\exists x\exists yF \equiv \exists y\exists xF$$

Conversion Lemma

Let F be a formula, let x be a variable and let t be a term. By $F[x/t]$ we denote the formula one obtains from F by replacing every free occurrence of x by t .

Similarly by $\mathcal{A}[x/t]$ we denote the mapping that agrees with \mathcal{A} on everything but on x , we set $\mathcal{A}_{[x/t]}(x) = \mathcal{A}(t)$.

Lemma (Conversion Lemma). Let F be a formula, let x be a variable and let t be a term that does not contain any occurrence of a variable that is bound by F . Then $\mathcal{A}(F[x/t]) = \mathcal{A}_{[x/\mathcal{A}(t)]}(F)$.

Rectified Formulas

A formula is **rectified** if no variable occurs both bound and free and if all quantifiers in the formula refer to different variables.

Lemma. Let $F = QxG$ be a formula where $Q \in \{\forall, \exists\}$. Let y be a variable that does not occur free in G . Then $F \equiv QyG[x/y]$.

Lemma. Every formula F is equivalent to a rectified formula G .

Prenex form

A formula is in **prenex form** if it has the form

$$Q_1y_1Q_2y_2 \dots Q_ny_nF,$$

where $Q_i \in \{\exists, \forall\}$, $n \geq 0$, all the y_i are variables, and F contains no quantifiers.

Theorem. Every formula is F equivalent to a rectified formula in prenex form (a formula in **RPF** G).

Skolem form

The **Skolem form** of a formula F in **RPF** is the result of applying the following algorithm to F :

while F contains an existential quantifier **do**

Let G be the formula in **RPF**

such that $F = \forall y_1 \forall y_2 \dots \forall y_n \exists z G$

(the block of universal quantifiers may be empty).

Let f be a **fresh** function symbol of arity n

that does not occur in F .

$$F := \forall y_1 \forall y_2 \dots \forall y_k G[z/f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)]$$

i.e., cancel the first existential quantifier in F and

substitute every occurrence of z in G by $f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$

We say that two formulas are **sat-equivalent** if they are both satisfiable or unsatisfiable.

Theorem. A formula in **RPF** and its Skolem form are sat-equivalent.

Clause form

A closed formula is in **clause form** if it is of the form

$$\forall y_1 \forall y_2 \dots \forall y_n F$$

where F contains no quantifiers and is in **CNF**.

A closed formula in clause form can be represented as a set of clauses.

Example: the clause form of $\forall x \forall y ((P(x, y) \wedge Q(x)) \wedge P(f(y), a))$ is

$$\{ \{P(x, y), Q(x)\}, \{P(f(y), a)\} \}$$

Converting into clause form up to sat-equivalence

Given: a formula F of predicate logic (with possible occurrences of free variables).

1. Rectify F by systematic renaming of bound variables.
The result is a formula F_1 equivalent to F .
2. Let y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n be the variables occurring free in F_1 .
Produce the formula $F_2 = \exists y_1 \exists y_2 \dots \exists y_n F_1$.
 F_2 is sat-equivalent to F_1 and closed.
3. Produce a formula F_3 in prenex form equivalent to F_2 .

4. Eliminate the existential quantifiers in F_3 by transforming F_3 into a Skolem formula F_4 .
The formula F_4 is sat-equivalent to F_3 .
5. Convert the matrix of F_4 into **CNF** (and write the resulting formula F_5 as set of clauses).

Exercise

Which formulas are rectified, in prenex, Skolem, or clause form?

	R	P	S	C
$\forall x(Tet(x) \vee Cube(x) \vee Dodec(x))$				
$\exists x\exists y(Cube(y) \vee BackOf(x, y))$				
$\forall x(\neg FrontOf(x, x) \wedge \neg BackOf(x, x))$				
$\neg\exists x Cube(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x\neg Cube(x)$				
$\forall x(Cube(x) \rightarrow Small(x)) \rightarrow \forall y(\neg Cube(y) \rightarrow \neg Small(y))$				
$(Cube(a) \wedge \forall x Small(x)) \rightarrow Small(a)$				
$\exists x(Larger(a, x) \wedge Larger(x, b)) \rightarrow Larger(a, b)$				