

Logic

Exam July 11, 2014

Time: 120 minutes

Total number of points: 60

If not stated otherwise, all answers have to be justified.

1. (8=4+2+2)

Let the following propositional formula

$$F = (A \vee \neg B \vee \neg D \vee \neg E) \wedge (\neg B \vee C) \wedge B \wedge (\neg C \vee D) \wedge (\neg D \vee E)$$

be given.

- Decide whether F is satisfiable by using the algorithm for Horn formulas discussed in the lecture.
- How many models defined precisely on A, B, C, D, E does F have?
- How many models defined precisely on A, B, C, D, E does $\neg F$ have?

2. (11=5+1+2+2+1)

Let the following propositional formula

$$F = \neg(((A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow A)) \rightarrow (A \leftrightarrow B))$$

be given.

- Transform F into some equivalent formula G in conjunctive normal form by applying a sequence of equivalences introduced in the lecture (the name of these rules do not have to be specified).
- Write G in clause form.
- Give a derivation of \square from G (either as a sequence or as a tree).
- Compute $\text{Res}^0(G)$ and $\text{Res}^1(G)$ and determine the set $\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid \square \in \text{Res}^i(G)\}$.
- How many models defined precisely on A, B, C does $F \vee (A \rightarrow B)$ have?

3. (7=2+3+1+1)

Let the following formula

$$F = \forall x \forall y \exists z \left(\left(\neg(x = y) \rightarrow \neg R(f(x), f(y)) \right) \wedge \exists x (R(b, z) \wedge R(x, y)) \right)$$

of predicate logic be given.

- Give a model \mathcal{A} of F such that $|U_{\mathcal{A}}|$ is minimal (without justification).
- Skolemize the formula F into some formula G . In every step, state how the formula was transformed and whether semantic equivalence or only equi-satisfiability holds (the name of the rules do not have to be specified).
- How many elements does the Herbrand universe of G have?
- Give five elements of the Herbrand universe of G .

4. (9=2+2+2+3)

Let the signature $S = \{R, f\}$ be given, where R is a binary relational symbol (sometimes it helps reading R as an edge relation of a directed graph) and where f is a unary functional symbol.

- Give a formula F over S with equality such that for each suitable structure \mathcal{A} it holds that $\mathcal{A} \models F$ if and only if R is an equivalence relation with precisely two equivalence classes (no justification necessary).
- Prove that $\exists x \forall y R(x, y) \models \forall x \exists y R(y, x)$.
- Give a satisfiable formula F over S without equality that has only infinite models (only the formula is required).
- Give a satisfiable formula F over S with equality that has precisely four models (that are defined on S and up to isomorphism). Draw the four models (no further explanation required).

5. (16=8×2)

Confirm or refute the following statements. Always provide a short justification of your answer.

- There is a formula F of predicate logic that has a model \mathcal{A} with $U_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{R}$ (the real numbers).
- For any two isomorphic structures \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} it holds that for each $k \geq 17$ Duplicator has a winning strategy in $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$.
- For each structure \mathcal{A} we have that if $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ is infinite, then $Th(\mathcal{A})$ is not decidable.
- For each propositional formulas F, G and H we have that $F \wedge G \models H$ if and only if $(F \rightarrow G) \rightarrow H$ is valid.
- $\{A, B, (A \rightarrow \neg B)\} \models (A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow B$.
- For any two structures \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} over the same signature it holds that if $U_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq U_{\mathcal{B}}$, then $Th(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq Th(\mathcal{B})$.
- For each formula F with $qr(F) = k$ there exists a formula G with $qr(G) = k + 1$ and $F \equiv G$.
- The satisfiability problem for propositional formulas in disjunctive normal form can be solved in polynomial time.

6. a) (9=5+(1+3))

Let S be an arbitrary finite signature with relational symbols only. Prove that the property

$$\{\mathcal{A} : |U_{\mathcal{A}}| \in \mathbb{N} \text{ is a prime number}\}$$

is not expressible in predicate logic over S by applying the methodology theorem. When showing the existence of a winning Duplicator strategy, only the winning strategy is required (and not any proof why it is winning).

- Let us fix the signature $S = \{R\}$, where R is a binary relational symbol. Let the property

$$P = \{\mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{A} \text{ has a directed } R\text{-cycle of length 3}\}$$

be given.

- Give some formula F of predicate logic that expresses P with $qr(F) = 3$ (only the formula is required).
- Give two suitable structures \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} (drawing them suffices and no further justification necessary) such that
 - \mathcal{A} satisfies P and \mathcal{B} does not satisfy P .
 - Duplicator has a winning strategy in $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$.