### Theories

## **Examples**

A signature is a (finite or infinite) set of predicate and function symbols. We fix a signature S.

A theory is a set of formulas T (over S) closed under consequence, i.e., if  $F_1, \ldots, F_n \in T$  and  $\{F_1, \ldots, F_n\} \models G$  then  $G \in T$ .

Fact: Let A be a structure suitable for S. The set F of formulas such that A(F) = 1 is a theory.

We call them model-based theories.

Fact: Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a set of formulas (a set of axioms). The set F of formulas such that  $\mathcal{F} \models F$  is a theory.

We call them axiom-based theories.

## **Decidability and axiomatizability**

A set  $\mathcal{F}$  of formulas over a signature S is decidable if there is an algorithm that decides for every formula F over S whether  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  holds.

A theory T is *blue decidable* if it is decidable as a set.

A theory T is axiomatizable if there is a decidable set  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq T$  of closed formulas (the axioms) such that every formula of T is a consequence of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Model-based theories:

Arithmetic:	$Th(\mathbb{N},0,1,+,\cdot,<)$
Presburger Arithmetic:	$Th(\mathbb{N},0,1,+,<)$
Linear Arithmetic:	$Th(\mathbb{Q},0,1,+,c\cdot (c\in\mathbb{Q}),<)$

Axiom-based theories:

- Theory of groups, rings, fields, boolean algebras, ...
- Abstract datatypes: stacks, queues, ...

**Quantifier elimination** 

A quantifier elimination procedure (QE-procedure) for a model-based theory with structure  $\mathcal{A}$  is a computable function that maps each formula of the theory of the form  $\exists x \ F$  (where F contains no quantifiers) to a formula G without quantifiers such that:

- $\mathcal{A}(\exists x \ F) = \mathcal{A}(G).$
- Every free variable of G is also a free variable of  $\exists x F$ .

Notation: We abbreviate  $\mathcal{A}(F_1) = \mathcal{A}(F_2)$  to  $F_1 \equiv_{\mathcal{A}} F_2$ .

Theorem: If the set of quantifier-free closed formulas of a theory is decidable and the theory has a quantifier elimination procedure, then the theory is decidable.

#### Proof:

- Convert the formula into prenex form.
- Eliminate all quantifers inside-out (i.e., starting with the innermost quantifier), where universal quantifiers are transformed into existential ones with the help of the rule ∀ F ≡ ¬∃ ¬F.
- Decide the resulting quantifier-free closed formula.

Linear Arithmetic:  $Th(\mathbb{Q}, 0, 1, +, c \cdot (c \in \mathbb{Q}), <)$ 

Syntax:

Terms:	$t := 0 \mid 1 \mid t_1 + t_2 \mid c \cdot t$
Atomic formulas:	$A := t_1 < t_2 \mid t_1 = t_2$
Formulas:	$F := A \mid \neg F \mid F_1 \lor F_2 \mid F_1 \land F_2 \mid \exists F \mid \forall F$

Structure  $\mathcal{A}$ :

- Universe: Q.
- Interpretation of 0, 1, +, < ist clear.
- $\mathcal{A}(c \cdot t) = c \cdot \mathcal{A}(t).$

## Expressiveness

## **Fourier-Motzkin elimination**

Some assertions that can be formalized in linear arithmetic:

- The system  $Ax \leq b$  has no solution.
- Every solution of  $A_1x \leq b_1$  is also a solution of  $A_2x \leq b_2$ .
- For every solution  $x_1$  of  $A_1x \le b_1$  gibt there are solutions  $x_2$ and  $x_3$  of  $A_2x \le b_2$  and  $A_3x \le b_3$  such that  $x_1 = x_2 + x_3$ .
- The smallest solution of  $A_1x \leq b_1$  is larger than the largest solution of  $A_2x \leq b_2$ .

(slides by Prof. Nipkow.)

We present a QE-procedure for linear arithmetic.

Given: Formula  $\exists xF$  where F quantifier-free. Goal: Quantifier-free formula G such that  $G \equiv_{\mathcal{A}} \exists xF$ .

Two phases:

- Phase I: Simplification of the problem through logical manipulations.
- Phase II: QE-procedure for the simplified case.

5

#### Phase I

## Phase I (Con.)

Step 1: Bring negations in and eliminate them using

$$\neg (t_1 = t_2) \equiv_{\mathcal{A}} (t_2 < t_1) \lor (t_1 < t_2) \neg (t_1 < t_2) \equiv_{\mathcal{A}} (t_2 < t_1) \lor (t_2 = t_1)$$

Step 2: Convert into DNF and move  $\exists x \text{ through } \lor \text{ using}$ 

$$\exists x(F_1 \lor F_2) \equiv \exists xF_1 \lor \exists xF_2$$

The result is of the form  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} \exists x \ (\bigwedge_{j=1}^{m_i} A_{ij})$ . So w.l.o.g. we restrict our attention to the case

$$F = A_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge A_n$$

Step 3: Miniscoping: consider only the  $A_i$  containing x. The rule

 $\exists x \ (A_1 \land A_2) \equiv (\exists x \ A_1) \land A_2 \quad \text{if } x \text{ does not occur free in } A_2$ 

allows us to restrict our attention w.l.o.g. to the case

 $F = A_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge A_n$  and x occurs free in every  $A_i$ 

#### 10

### Phase II

- Case 1. There exists  $k \in [1..n]$  such that  $A_k^x = (x = t_k)$ . Then:  $\exists x F \equiv_{\mathcal{A}} F[x/t_k]$ . Set  $G := F[x/t_k] = A_1^x[x/t_k] \land \ldots \land A_n^x[x/t_k]$ .
- Case 2. For every  $k \in [1..n]$ :  $A_k^x = (x < t_k)$  or  $A_k^x = (t_k < x)$ . Classify the  $A_i^x$  into lower and upper bounds:

$$F = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{l} L_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^{u} U_j$$
 where  $L_i = (l_i < x)$  and  $U_j = (x < u_j)$ 

I.e.,  $l_i$  is a (lower bound) and  $u_j$  an (upper bound) for x.

# Phase I (Con.)

Step 4: Isolate x in  $A_i$ .

Define x-atoms:  $A^x := x = t | x < t | t < x$  where x does not occur in t.

Fact: For every  $i \in [1..n]$  there is a x-Atom  $A_i^x$  such that  $A_i^x \equiv_{\mathcal{A}} A_i$ . (requires linearity!!)

Example:

If 
$$A_i = 3 \cdot x + 5 \cdot y < 7 \cdot x + 3 \cdot z$$
  
then take  $A_i^x = \frac{5}{4} \cdot y + \left(-\frac{3}{4}\right) \cdot z < x$ 

W.l.o.g. we can restrict our attention to the case

$$F = A_1^x \wedge \ldots \wedge A_n^x$$

9

## Phase II (Con.)

Case 2a: l = 0 or u = 0. (Only lower or upper bounds.) Then:  $\exists xF \equiv_{\mathcal{A}} 1$ . Set G := 1

Case 2b: l > 0 and u > 0. (Both lower and upper bounds.)

Then:  $\exists xF \equiv_{\mathcal{A}} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{l} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{u} (l_i < u_j).$  $(\mathcal{A}(\exists xF) = 1 \text{ iff all lower bounds smaller than all upper bounds.}$ Observe: this holds because  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a dense order!)

Set  $G = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{l} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{u} (l_i < u_j).$ 

Dominated by the case 2b.

If |F| = O(n) then  $|G| = O(n^2)$ .

The procedure needs  $O(n^{2^m})$  for a formula  $\exists x_1 \dots \exists x_m F$  of length n. (Assuming F is in DNF.)

14

