

## Cryptography – Endterm

### Exercise 1      “One-liners”

1P each = 8P

Give a short (one line) answer/explanation using the results from the lecture and the exercises.

- (a) Our notions of CPA and CCA security are based on the idea of *indistinguishable* encryptions. State the name of another notion of security used for ES.
- (b) What is a safe prime?
- (c) Name a polynomial-time algorithm for testing primality.
- (d) Why is the RSA-problem not a OWF over  $\langle \mathbb{Z}_p^*, \cdot, 1 \rangle$  with  $p$  prime?
- (e) How many generators does  $\langle \mathbb{Z}_{113}^*, \cdot, 1 \rangle$  possess? **Remark:** 113 is prime.
- (f) How many primes are asymptotically in the interval  $[0, 2^n]$ ?
- (g) Give an example of a family of groups w.r.t. which the DDH is conjectured to be hard.
- (h) What is OAEP used for?

### Exercise 2

2P+2P+1P=5P

- (a) State which of the following cryptographic primitives resp. schemes **are known to exist (as discussed in the lecture)** under the assumption that CPA-secure PKES exist:

PRG, CCA-secure PKES, secure MAC, perfectly secret ES, CRHF, secure DSS, UOWHF

- (b) For which of the above primitives resp. schemes is their existence known to be equivalent to the existence of OWF?
- (c) State a conjecture which is known to suffice for CCA-secure PKES to exist.

### Exercise 3

3P+2P+1P+1P=7P

Let  $F$  be a PRF of key length  $n$  and block length  $l(n)$ .

- (a) Define Gen, and Enc for  $F$ -rCTR (randomized counter mode) ES.
- (b) Show that  $F$ -rCTR ES is not CCA-secure.
- (c) What is a possible advantage of  $F$ -rCTR when compared to  $F$ -rCBC (randomized cipher block chaining)?
- (d) The CPA-security bound derived for  $F$ -rCTR in the lecture depends not only on the probability that an adversary can distinguish  $F$  from a RO but also on the block length of  $F$ . Why?

### Exercise 4

3P+2P+3P=8P

Let  $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a collection of compression functions with  $h_n: \{0, 1\}^{2n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (a) Describe how the Merkle-Damgård construction is used to construct from  $h_n$  a collection of hash functions  $H_{n,IV}$ .  
What is the domain of  $H_{n,IV}$ ?

Name one cryptographic property that  $H_{n,IV}$  inherits from  $h_n$ .

Let  $F$  be a PRF of key and block length  $n$ . We can extend the domain of  $F$  by applying the Merkle-Damgård construction to  $h_n(x||y) := F_x(y)$  (for  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$ ). Denote by  $\bar{F}_{IV} := H_{n,IV}$  the resulting function for  $IV \in \{0, 1\}^n$ .

- (b) Show that  $\bar{F}_{IV}$  is not a PRF for  $IV \stackrel{u}{\in} \{0, 1\}^n$  the secret key.
- (c) Define (Gen, Mac, Vrf) for  $F$ -NMAC. Feel free to use  $\bar{F}$ .

**Exercise 5****3P+1P+1P+2P+2P=9P**

The multiplicative group modulo  $N$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{Z}_N^* \triangleq \langle \mathbb{Z}_N^*, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ . Let

$$f_{(N,e)}: \mathbb{Z}_N^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N^*: x \mapsto x^e \pmod N$$

be the map defined by taking  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$  to its  $e$ -th power modulo  $N$ .

**Hint:**  $385 = 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ .

- What is the order and the exponent of the group  $\langle \mathbb{Z}_{385}^*, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ ? Is this group cyclic?
- State the precise characterization of those  $e \in \mathbb{Z}$  for which  $f_{(N,e)}$  is a permutation on  $\mathbb{Z}_N^*$ .
- How many distinct permutations of this form  $f_{(N,e)}$  are there? Prove your answer.
- Compute a  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f_{(385,d)}$  is the inverse permutation of  $f_{(385,7)}$ .
- Assume you are given public and private RSA-TDP parameters  $(N, e)$  and  $(N, d)$ , respectively. Further, let  $h: \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{160}$  be a concrete hash function, e.g. RIPEMD-160.

Describe how to sign a message, and how to verify a message-signature pair using the RSA-TDP and  $h$  based on the *full-domain-hash* heuristic. Assume that  $N \in [2^{1023}, 2^{1024}]$ .

**Exercise 6****3P**

Let  $F$  be a PRF of key and block length  $n$ , and  $[\cdot]: \{1, 2, \dots, 2^n\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  some encoding function.

Assume we derive from a truly random secret key  $k \stackrel{u}{\in} \{0, 1\}^n$ , a sequence of pseudorandom keys  $k_1, \dots, k_{r(n)}$  with  $k_i := F_k([\cdot])$  and  $r(n)$  some fixed polynomial.

Prove that every PPT-algorithm  $\mathcal{P}$  which on input  $k_1, \dots, k_{r(n)-1}$  tries to compute  $k_{r(n)}$  can only succeed with negligible probability. To this end, define a distinguisher  $\mathcal{D}$  for  $F$  which uses  $\mathcal{P}$  as subprocedure.

**Abbreviations**

- RO = random oracle
- RPO = random permutation oracle
- OWF = one-way function (family/collection)
- OWP = one-way permutation (family/collection)
- TDP = trapdoor one-way permutation
- PRG = pseudorandom generator
- PRF = pseudorandom function
- PRP = pseudorandom permutation
- TBC = tweakable block cipher
- UOWHF = universal one-way hash function (family/collection)
- CRHF = collision resistant hash function (family/collection)
- ES = (private-key) encryption scheme
- PKES = public-key encryption scheme
- MAC = message authentication code
- DSS = digital signature scheme
- DLP = discrete logarithm problem
- DDH = decisional Diffie-Hellman problem