

Complexity Theory

Jan Křetínský

Technical University of Munich
Summer 2019

May 9, 2019

Lecture 8

PSPACE

Agenda

- succinctness
- QBF and GG
- PSPACE completeness
- QBF is PSPACE-complete
- Savitch's theorem

Succinctness vs Expressiveness

Some intuition:

- $5 \cdot 5$ is more succinct than $5 + 5 + 5 + 5 + 5$
- ⇒ multiplication allows for more succinct representation of arithmetic expressions
- but it is not more expressive

Succinctness vs Expressiveness

Some intuition:

- $5 \cdot 5$ is more succinct than $5 + 5 + 5 + 5 + 5$
- ⇒ multiplication allows for more succinct representation of arithmetic expressions
- but it is not more expressive

regular expressions

- regular expressions with squaring are more succinct than without
- example: strings over $\{1\}$ with length divisible by 16
 - $(((((00)^2)^2)^2)^*)$ versus
 - $(0000000000000000)^*$
- but obviously squaring does not add expressiveness

More succinct means more difficult to handle

Non-deterministic finite automata

- NFAs can be **exponentially more succinct** than DFAs
- but equally expressive
- example: k -last symbol is 1
- complementation, equivalence are **polynomial** for DFAs and **exponential** for NFAs

Succinct Boolean formulas

Consider the following formula where $\psi = x \vee y \vee \bar{z}$

$$\begin{aligned} & (x \wedge y \wedge \psi) \\ \wedge & (x \wedge \bar{y} \wedge \psi) \\ \wedge & (\bar{x} \wedge y \wedge \psi) \\ \wedge & (\bar{x} \wedge \bar{y} \wedge \psi) \end{aligned}$$

Succinct Boolean formulas

Consider the following formula where $\psi = x \vee y \vee \bar{z}$

$$\begin{aligned} & (x \wedge y \wedge \psi) \\ \wedge & (x \wedge \bar{y} \wedge \psi) \\ \wedge & (\bar{x} \wedge y \wedge \psi) \\ \wedge & (\bar{x} \wedge \bar{y} \wedge \psi) \end{aligned}$$

Formula is **satisfiable** iff $\exists z \forall x \forall y. \psi$ is **true**, where variables range over $\{0, 1\}$.

Succinct Boolean formulas

Consider the following formula where $\psi = x \vee y \vee \bar{z}$

$$\begin{aligned} & (x \wedge y \wedge \psi) \\ \wedge & (x \wedge \bar{y} \wedge \psi) \\ \wedge & (\bar{x} \wedge y \wedge \psi) \\ \wedge & (\bar{x} \wedge \bar{y} \wedge \psi) \end{aligned}$$

Formula is **satisfiable** iff $\exists z \forall x \forall y. \psi$ is **true**, where variables range over $\{0, 1\}$.

\Rightarrow Quantified Boolean Formulas

Quantified Boolean Formulas

Definition (QBF)

A **quantified Boolean formula** is a formula of the form

$$Q_1 x_1 Q_2 x_2 \dots Q_n x_n \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

- where each $Q_j \in \{\forall, \exists\}$
- each x_j ranges over $\{0, 1\}$
- φ is **quantifier-free**

Quantified Boolean Formulas

Definition (QBF)

A **quantified Boolean formula** is a formula of the form

$$Q_1 x_1 Q_2 x_2 \dots Q_n x_n \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

- where each $Q_i \in \{\forall, \exists\}$
 - each x_i ranges over $\{0, 1\}$
 - φ is **quantifier-free**
-
- wlog we can assume **prenex form**
 - formulas are **closed**, i.e. each QBF is true or false
 - **QBF** = $\{\varphi \mid \varphi \text{ is a true QBF}\}$
 - if **all** $Q_i = \exists$, we obtain **SAT** as a special case
 - if **all** $Q_i = \forall$, we obtain **Tautology** as a special case

QBF is in PSPACE

Polynomial space algorithm to decide QBF

```

qbfsolve( $\psi$ )
  if  $\psi$  is quantifier-free
    return evaluation of  $\psi$ 
  if  $\psi = Qx.\psi'$ 
    if  $Q = \exists$ 
      if qbfsolve( $\psi'[x \mapsto 0]$ ) return true
      if qbfsolve( $\psi'[x \mapsto 1]$ ) return true
    if  $Q = \forall$ 
       $b_1 =$  qbfsolve( $\psi'[x \mapsto 0]$ )
       $b_2 =$  qbfsolve( $\psi'[x \mapsto 1]$ )
      return  $b_1 \wedge b_2$ 
  return false
  
```

QBF is in PSPACE

Polynomial space algorithm to decide QBF

```

qbfsolve( $\psi$ )
  if  $\psi$  is quantifier-free
    return evaluation of  $\psi$ 
  if  $\psi = Qx.\psi'$ 
    if  $Q = \exists$ 
      if qbfsolve( $\psi'[x \mapsto 0]$ ) return true
      if qbfsolve( $\psi'[x \mapsto 1]$ ) return true
    if  $Q = \forall$ 
       $b_1 =$  qbfsolve( $\psi'[x \mapsto 0]$ )
       $b_2 =$  qbfsolve( $\psi'[x \mapsto 1]$ )
      return  $b_1 \wedge b_2$ 
  return false
  
```

- each recursive call can **re-use same space!**
- **qbf**solve uses at most $O(|\psi|^2)$ space

Generalized Geography

- children's game, where people take turn naming **cities**
- next city must **start** with previous city's **final** letter
- as in München → Nürnberg
- **no repetitions**
- lost if no more choices left

Generalized Geography

- children's game, where people take turn naming **cities**
- next city must **start** with previous city's **final** letter
- as in München → Nürnberg
- **no repetitions**
- lost if no more choices left

Formalization

Given a graph and a node, players take turns choosing an **unvisited adjacent node** until no longer possible.

GG = $\{\langle G, u \rangle \mid \text{player 1 has winning strategy from node } u \text{ in } G\}$

GG \in PSPACE

and here is the algorithm to prove it:

ggsolve(G, u)

if u has no outgoing edge return false

remove u and its adjacent edges from G to obtain G'

for each u_i adjacent to u

$b_i = \text{ggsolve}(G', u_i)$

return $\bigvee_i \overline{b_i}$

GG \in PSPACE

and here is the algorithm to prove it:

ggsolve(G, u)

if u has no outgoing edge return false

remove u and its adjacent edges from G to obtain G'

for each u_i adjacent to u

$b_i = \text{ggsolve}(G', u_i)$

return $\bigvee_i \overline{b_i}$

- stack depth 1 for recursion implies polynomial space
- QBF \leq_p GG

Agenda

- succinctness ✓
- QBF and GG ✓
- PSPACE completeness
- QBF is PSPACE-complete
- Savitch's theorem

PSPACE-completeness

Definition (PSPACE-completeness)

Language L is **PSPACE-hard** if for every $L' \in \text{PSPACE}$ $L' \leq_p L$. L is **PSPACE-complete** if $L \in \text{PSPACE}$ and L is **PSPACE-hard**.

QBF is PSPACE-complete

Theorem

QBF is PSPACE-complete.

QBF is PSPACE-complete

Theorem

QBF is PSPACE-complete.

- have already shown that $\text{QBF} \in \text{PSPACE}$
- need to show that every problem $L \in \text{PSPACE}$ is polynomial-time reducible to QBF

Proof

- let $L \in \text{PSPACE}$ arbitrary

Proof

- let $L \in \text{PSPACE}$ arbitrary
- $L \in \text{SPACE}(s(n))$ for polynomial s

Proof

- let $L \in \text{PSPACE}$ arbitrary
- $L \in \text{SPACE}(s(n))$ for polynomial s
- $m \in O(s(n))$: bits needed to encode configuration C

Proof

- let $L \in \text{PSPACE}$ arbitrary
- $L \in \text{SPACE}(s(n))$ for polynomial s
- $m \in O(s(n))$: bits needed to encode configuration C
- exists Boolean formula $\varphi_{M,x}$ with size $O(m)$ such that $\varphi_{M,x}(C, C') = 1$ iff $C, C' \in \{0, 1\}^m$ encode adjacent configurations; see Cook-Levin

Proof

- let $L \in \text{PSPACE}$ arbitrary
- $L \in \text{SPACE}(s(n))$ for polynomial s
- $m \in O(s(n))$: bits needed to encode configuration C
- exists Boolean formula $\varphi_{M,x}$ with size $O(m)$ such that $\varphi_{M,x}(C, C') = 1$ iff $C, C' \in \{0, 1\}^m$ encode adjacent configurations; see Cook-Levin
- define QBF ψ such that $\psi(C, C')$ is true iff there is a path in $G(M, x)$ from C to C'

Proof

- let $L \in \text{PSPACE}$ arbitrary
- $L \in \text{SPACE}(s(n))$ for polynomial s
- $m \in O(s(n))$: bits needed to encode configuration C
- exists Boolean formula $\varphi_{M,x}$ with size $O(m)$ such that $\varphi_{M,x}(C, C') = 1$ iff $C, C' \in \{0, 1\}^m$ encode adjacent configurations; see Cook-Levin
- define QBF ψ such that $\psi(C, C')$ is true iff there is a path in $G(M, x)$ from C to C'
- $\psi(C_{\text{start}}, C_{\text{accept}})$ is true iff M accepts x

Proof – cont'd

Define ψ inductively!

- $\psi_i(C, C')$: there is a path of length at most 2^i from C to C'

Proof – cont'd

Define ψ inductively!

- $\psi_i(C, C')$: there is a path of length at most 2^i from C to C'
- $\psi = \psi_m$ and $\psi_0 = \varphi_{M,x}$

Proof – cont'd

Define ψ inductively!

- $\psi_i(C, C')$: there is a path of length at most 2^i from C to C'
- $\psi = \psi_m$ and $\psi_0 = \varphi_{M,x}$

$$\psi_i(C, C') = \exists C'' . \psi_{i-1}(C, C'') \wedge \psi_{i-1}(C'', C')$$

Proof – cont'd

Define ψ inductively!

- $\psi_i(C, C')$: there is a path of length at most 2^i from C to C'
- $\psi = \psi_m$ and $\psi_0 = \varphi_{M,x}$

$$\psi_i(C, C') = \exists C'' . \psi_{i-1}(C, C'') \wedge \psi_{i-1}(C'', C')$$

might be exponential size,

Proof – cont'd

Define ψ inductively!

- $\psi_i(C, C')$: there is a path of length at most 2^i from C to C'
- $\psi = \psi_m$ and $\psi_0 = \varphi_{M,x}$

$$\psi_i(C, C') = \exists C'' . \psi_{i-1}(C, C'') \wedge \psi_{i-1}(C'', C')$$

might be exponential size, therefore use equivalent

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i(C, C') &= \exists C'' . \forall D_1 . \forall D_2 . \\ &((D_1 = C \wedge D_2 = C'') \vee (D_1 = C'' \wedge D_2 = C')) \\ &\Rightarrow \psi_{i-1}(D_1, D_2) \end{aligned}$$

Size of ψ

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_i(C, C') &= \exists C'' . \forall D_1 . \forall D_2 . \\ &\quad ((D_1 = C \wedge D_2 = C'') \vee (D_1 = C'' \wedge D_2 = C')) \\ &\Rightarrow \psi_{i-1}(D_1, D_2)\end{aligned}$$

- C'' stands for m variables

$$\Rightarrow |\psi_i| = |\psi_{i-1}| + O(m)$$

$$\Rightarrow |\psi| \in O(m^2)$$

Observations and consequences

- GG is **PSPACE**-complete
 - if **PSPACE** \neq **NP** then QBF and GG have no **short certificates**
 - note: proof does not make use of **outdegree** of $G(M, x)$
- \Rightarrow QBF is **NPSPACE**-complete
- \Rightarrow **NPSPACE** = **PSPACE**!
- in fact, the same reasoning can be used to prove a stronger result

Savitch's Theorem

Theorem (Savitch)

For every space-constructible $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $s(n) \geq \log n$
 $\text{NSPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}(s(n)^2)$.

Proof

Let M be a NDTM accepting L . Let $G(M, x)$ be its configuration graph of size $m \in O(2^{s(n)})$; each node is represented using $\log m$ space.

Proof

Let M be a NDTM accepting L . Let $G(M, x)$ be its configuration graph of size $m \in O(2^{s(n)})$; each node is represented using $\log m$ space.

M accepts x iff there is a path of length at most m from C_{start} to C_{accept} .

Proof

Let M be a NDTM accepting L . Let $G(M, x)$ be its configuration graph of size $m \in O(2^{s(n)})$; each node is represented using $\log m$ space.

M accepts x iff there is a path of length at most m from C_{start} to C_{accept} .

Consider the following algorithm $reach(u, v, i)$ to determine whether there is a path from u to v of length at most 2^i .

- for each node z of M
 - $b_1 = reach(u, z, i - 1)$
 - $b_2 = reach(z, v, i - 1)$
 - return $b_1 \wedge b_2$

Proof

Let M be a NDTM accepting L . Let $G(M, x)$ be its **configuration graph** of size $m \in O(2^{s(n)})$;
each node is represented using $\log m$ space.

M accepts x iff there is a **path of length at most m** from C_{start} to C_{accept} .

Consider the following algorithm $reach(u, v, i)$ to determine whether there is a path from u to v of length at most 2^i .

- **for each** node z of M
 - $b_1 = reach(u, z, i - 1)$
 - $b_2 = reach(z, v, i - 1)$
 - return $b_1 \wedge b_2$

$\Rightarrow reach(C_{start}, C_{accept}, m)$ takes space $O((\log m)^2) = O(s(n)^2)$

Further Reading

- *L. J. Stockmeyer and A. R. Meyer. Word problems requiring exponential time.* STOC, pages 1-9, 1973
 - contains the original proof of **PSPACE** completeness of **QBF**
 - **PSPACE**-completeness of NFA equivalence
- regular expression equivalence with squaring is **EXPSPACE**-complete:
<http://people.csail.mit.edu/meyer/rsq.pdf>
- *Gilbert, Lengauer, Tarjan The Pebbling Problem is Complete in Polynomial Space.* SIAM Journal on Computing, Volume 9, Issue 3, 1980, pages 513-524.
- <http://www.qbflib.org/>
 - **tools** (solvers)
 - many QBF models from verification, games, planning
 - competitions
- **PSPACE**-completeness of Hex, Atomix, Gobang, Chess
- *W.J.Savitch Relationship between nondeterministic and deterministic tape classes* JCSS, 4, pp 177-192, 1970.

What have we learnt

- succinctness leads to more difficult problems
- **PSPACE**: computable in polynomial space (deterministically)
- **PSPACE**-completeness defined in terms of polynomial Karp reductions
- canonical **PSPACE**-complete problem: **QBF** generalizes **SAT**
- other complete problems: generalized geography, chess, Hex, Sokoban, Reversi, NFA equivalence, regular expressions equivalence
- **PSPACE** ~ winning strategies in games rather than short certificates
- **PSPACE** = **NPSPACE**
- **Savitch**: non-deterministic space can be simulated by deterministic space with **quadratic overhead** (by path enumeration in configuration graph)

Up next: **NL**