

Complexity Theory

Jan Křetínský

Technical University of Munich
Summer 2019

May 9, 2019

Lecture 7

Hierarchies

Agenda

- deterministic time hierarchy theorem
- non-deterministic time hierarchy theorem
- space hierarchy theorem
- relation between space and time

Time Hierarchy Theorem

Theorem (Time Hierarchy)

Let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be time-constructible such that $f \cdot \log f \in o(g)$. Then $\text{DTIME}(f(n)) \subset \text{DTIME}(g(n))$.

Time Hierarchy Theorem

Theorem (Time Hierarchy)

Let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be time-constructible such that $f \cdot \log f \in o(g)$. Then $\text{DTIME}(f(n)) \subset \text{DTIME}(g(n))$.

- inclusion is **strict**
- proof: **diagonalization**
 - TM D simulates M_x on x for $g(|x|) / \log(|x|)$ steps and flips any answer
 - D runs in $O(g)$
 - if computable by $E = M_i$ in $O(f)$ then $D(i) \neq M_i(i) = E(i)$, contradiction

Time Hierarchy Theorem

Theorem (Time Hierarchy)

Let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be time-constructible such that $f \cdot \log f \in o(g)$. Then $\text{DTIME}(f(n)) \subset \text{DTIME}(g(n))$.

- inclusion is **strict**
- proof: **diagonalization**
 - TM D simulates M_x on x for $g(|x|) / \log(|x|)$ steps and flips any answer
 - D runs in $O(g)$
 - if computable by $E = M_i$ in $O(f)$ then $D(i) \neq M_i(i) = E(i)$, contradiction
- logarithmic factor due to **slowdown** in **universal simulation**
- shows that **P** does **not collapse to level k**
- corollary: **P** \subset **EXP**

Non-deterministic versions

Theorem (Time Hierarchy (non-det))

Let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be time-constructible such that $f(n+1) \in o(g(n))$. Then $\text{NTIME}(f(n)) \subset \text{NTIME}(g(n))$.

Non-deterministic versions

Theorem (Time Hierarchy (non-det))

Let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be time-constructible such that $f(n+1) \in o(g(n))$. Then $\text{NTIME}(f(n)) \subset \text{NTIME}(g(n))$.

- inclusion is **strict**
- proof by **lazy diagonalization** (see: **AB Th. 3.2**)
- note: proof of deterministic theorem **does not carry over**

Space Hierarchy Theorem

Theorem (Space Hierarchy)

Let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be space-constructible such that $f \in o(g)$. Then $\text{SPACE}(f(n)) \subset \text{SPACE}(g(n))$.

Space Hierarchy Theorem

Theorem (Space Hierarchy)

Let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be space-constructible such that $f \in o(g)$. Then $\text{SPACE}(f(n)) \subset \text{SPACE}(g(n))$.

- inclusion is **strict**
- **stronger** theorem than corresponding time theorem
 - universal TM for space-bounded computation incurs **only constant space overhead**
 - f, g can be **logarithmic** too
- proof analogous to deterministic time hierarchy
- corollary: $\text{L} \subset \text{PSPACE}$

Agenda

- deterministic time hierarchy theorem ✓
- non-deterministic time hierarchy theorem ✓
- space hierarchy theorem ✓
- relation between space and time

Relation between time and space

Theorem (Time vs. Space)

Let $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be space-constructible. Then

$$\text{DTIME}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{NSPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{DTIME}(2^{O(s(n))})$$

Relation between time and space

Theorem (Time vs. Space)

Let $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be space-constructible. Then

$$\text{DTIME}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{NSPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{DTIME}(2^{O(s(n))})$$

- inclusions are **non-strict**
- first two are obvious
- third inclusion requires notion of **configuration graphs**
- first inclusion can be strengthened to $\text{DTIME}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}\left(\frac{s(n)}{\log n}\right)$

Configuration Graphs

Let M be a deterministic or non-deterministic TM using $s(n)$ space. Let x be some input.

Configuration Graphs

Let M be a deterministic or non-deterministic TM using $s(n)$ space. Let x be some input.

- this induces a **configuration graph** $G(M, x)$
- nodes are **configuration**
 - states
 - content of work tapes
- edges are **transitions** (steps) that M can take

Properties of configuration graph

- outdegree of $G(M, x)$ is 1 if M is **deterministic**; 2 if M is **non-deterministic**
- $G(M, x)$ has at most $|Q| \cdot \Gamma^{c \cdot s(n)}$ nodes (c some constant)
- which is in $2^{O(s(n))}$
- $G(M, x)$ can be made to have **unique source** and **sink**
- acceptance \sim existence of **path from source to sink**
- which can be checked in time $O(G(M, x))$ using BFS

Properties of configuration graph

- outdegree of $G(M, x)$ is 1 if M is **deterministic**; 2 if M is **non-deterministic**
 - $G(M, x)$ has at most $|Q| \cdot \Gamma^{c \cdot s(n)}$ nodes (c some constant)
 - which is in $2^{O(s(n))}$
 - $G(M, x)$ can be made to have **unique source** and **sink**
 - acceptance \sim existence of **path from source to sink**
 - which can be checked in time $O(G(M, x))$ using BFS
- \Rightarrow **NSPACE**($s(n)$) \subseteq **DTIME**($2^{O(s(n))}$)

Properties of configuration graph

- outdegree of $G(M, x)$ is 1 if M is **deterministic**; 2 if M is **non-deterministic**
- $G(M, x)$ has at most $|Q| \cdot \Gamma^{c \cdot s(n)}$ nodes (c some constant)
- which is in $2^{O(s(n))}$
- $G(M, x)$ can be made to have **unique source** and **sink**
- acceptance \sim existence of **path from source to sink**
- which can be checked in time $O(G(M, x))$ using BFS

\Rightarrow **NSPACE**($s(n)$) \subseteq **DTIME**($2^{O(s(n))}$)

\Rightarrow **DTIME**($s(n)$) \subseteq **NTIME**($s(n)$) \subseteq **SPACE**($s(n)$)

- configurations include a **counter** over all possible **choices**

References

- the proof of Ladner's theorem given here follows [AB, Th. 3.3](#)
- nice survey, see blog.computationalcomplexity.org/media/ladner.pdf
- original proof of [time hierarchy](#) by *Hartmanis and Stearns* [On the computational complexity of algorithms](#) in Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 117.
- non-det time hierarchy by *Stephen Cook*: [A hierarchy for nondeterministic time complexity](#) in 4th annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing.
- stronger result on time vs space using [pebble games](#) by *Hopcroft, Paul, and Valiant* [On time versus space](#) in Journal of the ACM 24(2):332-337, April 1977.

Summary

- a lot of diagonalization
- Ladner: NP-intermediate languages exist
- $f \cdot \log f \in o(g)$ implies $\text{DTIME}(f(n)) \subset \text{DTIME}(g(n))$
- $f \in o(g)$ implies $\text{SPACE}(f(n)) \subset \text{SPACE}(g(n))$
- $\text{DTIME}(f(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{NSPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{DTIME}(2^{O(s(n))})$
- $\text{P} \subset \text{EXP}$ and $\text{L} \subset \text{PSPACE}$

Next time: PSPACE