

# Complexity Theory

Jan Křetínský

Chair for Foundations of Software Reliability and Theoretical Computer Science  
Technical University of Munich  
Summer 2016

Based on slides by Jörg Kreiker

Lecture 7

**Hierarchies**

# Regular Expression Equivalence – Recap

A **regular expression** over  $\{0, 1\}$  is defined by

$$r ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid rr \mid r|r \mid r \cap r \mid r^*$$

The **language** defined by  $r$  is written  $\mathcal{L}(r)$ .

# Regular Expression Equivalence – Recap

A **regular expression** over  $\{0, 1\}$  is defined by

$$r ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid rr \mid r|r \mid r \cap r \mid r^*$$

The **language** defined by  $r$  is written  $\mathcal{L}(r)$ .

- let  $\varphi = C_1 \wedge \dots \wedge C_m$  be a Boolean formula in **3CNF** over variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$

# Regular Expression Equivalence – Recap

A **regular expression** over  $\{0, 1\}$  is defined by

$$r ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid rr \mid r|r \mid r \cap r \mid r^*$$

The **language** defined by  $r$  is written  $\mathcal{L}(r)$ .

- let  $\varphi = C_1 \wedge \dots \wedge C_m$  be a Boolean formula in **3CNF** over variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$
- compute from  $\varphi$  a regular expression:  $f(\varphi) = (\alpha_1 | \alpha_2 | \dots | \alpha_m)$

# Regular Expression Equivalence – Recap

A **regular expression** over  $\{0, 1\}$  is defined by

$$r ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid rr \mid r|r \mid r \cap r \mid r^*$$

The **language** defined by  $r$  is written  $\mathcal{L}(r)$ .

- let  $\varphi = C_1 \wedge \dots \wedge C_m$  be a Boolean formula in **3CNF** over variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$
- compute from  $\varphi$  a regular expression:  $f(\varphi) = (\alpha_1 | \alpha_2 | \dots | \alpha_m)$
- $\alpha_j = \gamma_{j1} \dots \gamma_{jn}$

# Regular Expression Equivalence – Recap

A **regular expression** over  $\{0, 1\}$  is defined by

$$r ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid rr \mid r|r \mid r \cap r \mid r^*$$

The **language** defined by  $r$  is written  $\mathcal{L}(r)$ .

- let  $\varphi = C_1 \wedge \dots \wedge C_m$  be a Boolean formula in **3CNF** over variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$
- compute from  $\varphi$  a regular expression:  $f(\varphi) = (\alpha_1 | \alpha_2 | \dots | \alpha_m)$
- $\alpha_i = \gamma_{i1} \dots \gamma_{in}$
- $\gamma_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & x_j \in C_i \\ 1 & \bar{x}_j \in C_i \\ (0|1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

# Regular Expression Equivalence – Recap

A **regular expression** over  $\{0, 1\}$  is defined by

$$r ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid rr \mid r|r \mid r \cap r \mid r^*$$

The **language** defined by  $r$  is written  $\mathcal{L}(r)$ .

- let  $\varphi = C_1 \wedge \dots \wedge C_m$  be a Boolean formula in **3CNF** over variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$
- compute from  $\varphi$  a regular expression:  $f(\varphi) = (\alpha_1 | \alpha_2 | \dots | \alpha_m)$
- $\alpha_i = \gamma_{i1} \dots \gamma_{in}$
- $\gamma_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & x_j \in C_i \\ 1 & \bar{x}_j \in C_i \\ (0|1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- example:  $(x \vee y \vee \bar{z}) \wedge (\bar{y} \vee z \vee w)$  transformed to  $(001(0|1)) \mid (0|1)100$



# Regular Expression Equivalence – Recap

A **regular expression** over  $\{0, 1\}$  is defined by

$$r ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid rr \mid r|r \mid r \cap r \mid r^*$$

The **language** defined by  $r$  is written  $\mathcal{L}(r)$ .

- let  $\varphi = C_1 \wedge \dots \wedge C_m$  be a Boolean formula in **3CNF** over variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$
- compute from  $\varphi$  a regular expression:  $f(\varphi) = (\alpha_1 | \alpha_2 | \dots | \alpha_m)$
- $\alpha_j = \gamma_{j1} \dots \gamma_{jn}$
- $\gamma_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & x_j \in C_i \\ 1 & \bar{x}_j \in C_i \\ (0|1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- example:  $(x \vee y \vee \bar{z}) \wedge (\bar{y} \vee z \vee w)$  transformed to  $(001(0|1)) \mid (0|1)100$
- observe:  $\varphi$  is **unsatisfiable** iff  $f(\varphi) = \{0, 1\}^n$

# Agenda

- proof of Ladner's theorem
- deterministic time hierarchy theorem
- non-deterministic time hierarchy theorem
- space hierarchy theorem
- relation between space and time

# Ladner's Theorem

NP-intermediate languages do exist!

## Theorem (Ladner)

If  $P \neq NP$  then there exists a language  $L \subseteq NP \setminus P$  that is *not* NP-complete.

# Proof Roadmap

1.  $P \neq NP$  implies  $SAT \notin P$
2. construct language  $L \in NP$  such that
  - 2.1  $L \notin P$
  - 2.2  $L$  not  $NP$ -complete
3.  $L = \{\varphi 01^{f(n)-n-1} \mid \varphi \in SAT, |\varphi| = n\}$  padding  $SAT$
4.  $f$  and  $L$  constructed by diagonalization by enumerating all languages in  $P$
5. show that  $L \in P$  implies  $SAT \in P$  (contradiction!)
6. assume  $L$  is  $NP$ -complete, then there is a polynomial reduction from  $SAT$ , which yields a polynomial algorithm to decide  $SAT$  (contradiction!)

# Agenda

- proof of Ladner's theorem ✓
- deterministic time hierarchy theorem
- non-deterministic time hierarchy theorem
- space hierarchy theorem
- relation between space and time

# Time Hierarchy Theorem

## Theorem (Time Hierarchy)

Let  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be time-constructible such that  $f \cdot \log f \in o(g)$ . Then  $\text{DTIME}(f(n)) \subset \text{DTIME}(g(n))$ .

# Time Hierarchy Theorem

## Theorem (Time Hierarchy)

Let  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be time-constructible such that  $f \cdot \log f \in o(g)$ . Then  $\text{DTIME}(f(n)) \subset \text{DTIME}(g(n))$ .

- inclusion is **strict**
- proof: **diagonalization**, simulate  $M_x$  on  $x$  for  $g(|x|)$  steps
- shows that **P** does **not collapse to level  $k$**
- logarithmic factor due to **slowdown** in **universal simulation**
- corollary: **P**  $\subset$  **EXP**

# Non-deterministic versions

## Theorem (Time Hierarchy (non-det))

Let  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be time-constructible such that  $f(n+1) \in o(g(n))$ . Then  $\text{NTIME}(f(n)) \subset \text{NTIME}(g(n))$ .



# Non-deterministic versions

## Theorem (Time Hierarchy (non-det))

Let  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be time-constructible such that  $f(n+1) \in o(g(n))$ . Then  $\text{NTIME}(f(n)) \subset \text{NTIME}(g(n))$ .

- inclusion is **strict**
- proof by **lazy diagonalization** (see: **AB Th. 3.2**)
- note: proof of deterministic theorem **does not carry over**

# Space Hierarchy Theorem

## Theorem (Space Hierarchy)

Let  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be space-constructible such that  $f \in o(g)$ . Then  $\text{SPACE}(f(n)) \subset \text{SPACE}(g(n))$ .

# Space Hierarchy Theorem

## Theorem (Space Hierarchy)

Let  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be space-constructible such that  $f \in o(g)$ . Then  $\text{SPACE}(f(n)) \subset \text{SPACE}(g(n))$ .

- inclusion is **strict**
- **stronger** theorem than corresponding time theorem
  - **only constant space overhead**
  - $f, g$  can be **logarithmic** too
- proof analogous to deterministic time hierarchy
- corollary:  $L \subset \text{PSPACE}$

# Agenda

- proof of Ladner's theorem ✓
- deterministic time hierarchy theorem ✓
- non-deterministic time hierarchy theorem ✓
- space hierarchy theorem ✓
- relation between space and time

# Relation between time and space

## Theorem (Time vs. Space)

Let  $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be space-constructible. Then

$$\text{DTIME}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{NSPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{DTIME}(2^{O(s(n))})$$

# Relation between time and space

## Theorem (Time vs. Space)

Let  $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be space-constructible. Then

$$\text{DTIME}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{NSPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{DTIME}(2^{O(s(n))})$$

- inclusions are **non-strict**
- first two are obvious
- third inclusion requires notion of **configuration graphs**
- first inclusion can be strengthened to  $\text{DTIME}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}\left(\frac{s(n)}{\log n}\right)$

# Configuration Graphs

Let  $M$  be a deterministic or non-deterministic TM using  $s(n)$  space. Let  $x$  be some input.

# Configuration Graphs

Let  $M$  be a deterministic or non-deterministic TM using  $s(n)$  space. Let  $x$  be some input.

- this induces a **configuration graph**  $G(M, x)$
- nodes are **configuration**
  - state
  - content of work tapes
- edges are **transitions** (steps) that  $M$  can take



# Properties of configuration graph

- outdegree of  $G(M, x)$  is 1 if  $M$  is **deterministic**; 2 if  $M$  is **non-deterministic**
- $G(M, x)$  has at most  $|Q| \cdot \Gamma^{c \cdot s(n)}$  nodes ( $c$  some constant)
- which is in  $2^{O(s(n))}$
- $G(M, x)$  can be made to have **unique source** and **sink**
- acceptance  $\sim$  existence of **path from source to sink**
- which can be checked in time  $O(G(M, x))$

# Properties of configuration graph

- outdegree of  $G(M, x)$  is 1 if  $M$  is **deterministic**; 2 if  $M$  is **non-deterministic**
  - $G(M, x)$  has at most  $|Q| \cdot \Gamma^{c \cdot s(n)}$  nodes ( $c$  some constant)
  - which is in  $2^{O(s(n))}$
  - $G(M, x)$  can be made to have **unique source** and **sink**
  - acceptance  $\sim$  existence of **path from source to sink**
  - which can be checked in time  $O(G(M, x))$
- $\Rightarrow$  **NSPACE**( $s(n)$ )  $\subseteq$  **DTIME**( $2^{O(s(n))}$ ) (using BFS)

## References

- regular expression **inequivalence** from *Schöning* [Theoretische Informatik – kurzgefasst](#)
- the proof of Ladner's theorem given here follows [AB, Th. 3.3](#)
- nice survey, see [blog.computationalcomplexity.org/media/ladner.pdf](http://blog.computationalcomplexity.org/media/ladner.pdf)
- original proof of **time hierarchy** by *Hartmanis and Stearns* [On the computational complexity of algorithms](#) in Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 117.
- non-det time hierarchy by *Stephen Cook*: [A hierarchy for nondeterministic time complexity](#) in 4th annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing.
- stronger result on time vs space using **pebble games** by *Hopcroft, Paul, and Valiant* [On time versus space](#) in Journal of the ACM 24(2):332-337, April 1977.

# Summary

- a lot of diagonalization
- Ladner: NP-intermediate languages exist
- $f \cdot \log f \in o(g)$  implies  $\text{DTIME}(f(n)) \subset \text{DTIME}(g(n))$
- $f \in o(g)$  implies  $\text{SPACE}(f(n)) \subset \text{SPACE}(g(n))$
- $\text{DTIME}(f(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{NSPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{DTIME}(2^{O(s(n))})$
- $\text{P} \subset \text{EXP}$  and  $\text{L} \subset \text{PSPACE}$

Next time: PSPACE