

Complexity Theory

Jörg Kreiker

Chair for Theoretical Computer Science
Prof. Esparza
TU München

Summer term 2010

Lecture 9

NL

Agenda

- about **logarithmic space**
- paths ...
- ... and the **absence** thereof
- Immerman-Szelepcsényi and others

What can one do with logarithmic space?

In essence an algorithm can maintain a **constant** number of

- **pointers** into the input
 - for instance **node identities** (graph problems)
 - head positions
- **counters** up to input length

Examples:

- **L**: basic arithmetic
- **NL**: paths in graphs

Technical issues

- space usage refers to **work tapes** only
- **read-only** input and **write-once** output is allowed to use more than $\log n$ cells
- write-once: output head must not move to the left
- **logspace reductions** (because polynomial time-reductions too powerful)

Logspace reductions

Recall **Exercise 2.3!**

Definition (logspace reduction)

Let $L, L' \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ be languages. We say that L is **logspace-reducible** to L' , written $L \leq_{\log} L'$ if there is a function $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ computed by a **deterministic TM** using **logarithmic space** such that $x \in L \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in L'$ for every $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$.

- \leq_{\log} is **transitive**
- $C \in \mathbf{L}$ and $B \leq_{\log} C$ implies $B \in \mathbf{L}$
- **NL-hardness** and **NL-completeness** defined in terms of logspace reductions

Read-once Certificates

Similar to **NP**, also **NL** has a characterization using **certificates**

Theorem (read-once certificates)

$L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ is in **NL** iff there exists a *det. logspace TM* M (*verifier*) and a polynomial $p : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$

$$x \in L \text{ iff } \exists u \in \{0, 1\}^{p(|x|)}. M(x, u) = 1$$

Certificate u is written on an additional *read-once* input tape of M .

- example: **path** in a graph is a **read-once** certificate
- ⇒ certificate is sequence of **choices**
- ⇐ certificate is **guessed bit-wise** (it cannot be stored)
- exercise: if read-once is relaxed, one arrives at **NP**

Agenda

- about **logarithmic space** ✓
- paths ...
- ... and the **absence** thereof
- Immerman-Szelepcsényi and others

NL is all about paths

Recall the language **Path** in **directed graphs** defined as

$$\{\langle G, s, t \rangle \mid \exists \text{a path from } s \text{ to } t \text{ in directed graph } G\}$$

We have seen in Lecture 3 that **Path** \in **NL** by **guessing a path**:

- non-deterministic walks on graphs of n nodes
- if there is a path, it has length $\leq n$
- maintain **one pointer** to current node
- **one counter** counting up to n

In fact we even have:

Theorem (Path)

Path is **NL**-complete.

Proof

- let $L \in \text{NL}$ be arbitrary, decided by NDTM M
- on input $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ reduction f outputs configuration graph $G(M, x)$ of size $2^{O(\log n)}$ by counting to n
- there exists a path from C_{start} to C_{accept} in $G(M, x)$ iff M accepts x
- path itself can be used as read-once certificate

More path problems

- many natural problems correspond to path (reachability) problems
- the **word problem** for NFAs: $\{\langle A, w \rangle \mid w \text{ is accepted by NFA } A\}$
- **cycle detection/connected** components in directed graphs
- $\overline{2SAT} \in NL$
 - $x \vee y$ equivalent to $\neg x \implies y$ equivalent to $\neg y \implies x$
 - yields an **implication graph** (computable in logspace)
 - unsatisfiable **iff** there exists a path $x \rightarrow \bar{x} \rightarrow x$ in implication graph for variable x

Certificates for absence of paths?

- recall the open problem $NP = coNP$?
- equivalent to asking whether unsatisfiability has short certificates
- possibly not

What about absence of paths from s to t in graph G with n nodes named $1, \dots, n$?

Absence of path has read-once cert.!

- let C_i be the set of nodes reachable from s in at most i steps (bounded reachability)
- membership in C_i has read-once certificates (paths)
- non-membership of v in C_i also has read-once certificates if $|C_i|$ is known
 1. list all membership certificates for all $u \in C_i$ sorted in ascending order
 2. check validity and sortedness
 3. check that v is not in the list
 4. check that the list has length $|C_i|$
- non-membership in C_i is known given $|C_{i-1}|$ (checking neighbors in (3) as well)
- $|C_i| = c$ can be certified given $|C_{i-1}|$ using $C_0 = \{s\}$ as base case

Certificate is certificate for non-membership in C_n !

Its size is polynomial in number of nodes and read-once!

$$\text{NL} = \text{coNL}$$

We have just argued the existence of **polynomial read-once certificates** for **absence** of paths.

Theorem (Immerman-Szelepcsényi)

$$\text{NL} = \text{coNL}.$$

What have we learnt?

- space classes **closed under complement**
 - so are **context-sensitive** language (see exercises)
- analogous results for time complexity unlikely
- space classes **beyond logarithmic** closed under **non-determinism**
- **NL** is all about **reachability**
- $\overline{2SAT}$ is in **NL** and thus **2SAT** (in fact, hard for **NL**)
- **NL** has polynomial **read-once** certificates
- logarithmic space \sim **constant** number of **pointers** and **counters**

Up next: the polynomial hierarchy **PH**

Further Reading

- paths in **undirected graphs** is in **L**
 - *Omer Reingold* **Undirected ST-Connectivity in Log-Space**, STOC 2005
 - available from
<http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~reingold/publications/>
- an alternative characterization of **NL** by **reachability** is at the heart of **descriptive complexity** (later this course)
 - **NL** is first-order logic **plus transitive closure**
 - *Neil Immerman*, **Descriptive Complexity**, Springer 1999.