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## Agenda

- Wrap-up Ladner proof and time vs. space
- succinctness
- QBF and GG
- PSPACE completeness
- QBF is PSPACE-complete
- Savitch's theorem


## Comments about previous lecture

Enumeration of languages in P:

- enumerate pairs $\left\langle M_{i}, p_{j}\right\rangle$
- i enumerates all TMs, $j$ all polynomials
- run $M_{i}$ for $p_{j}$ steps

Time vs Space

- based on configuration graphs one can also show
$\operatorname{DTIME}(s(n)) \subseteq \operatorname{NTIME}(s(n)) \subseteq \operatorname{SPACE}(s(n))$
- if configurations include a counter over all possible choices


## Succinctness vs Expressiveness

Some intuition:

- $5 \cdot 5$ is more succinct than $5+5+5+5+5$
$\Rightarrow$ multiplication allows for more succinct representation of arithmetic expressions
- but it is not more expressive
regular expressions
- regular expressions with squaring are more succinct than without
- example: strings over $\{1\}$ with length divisible by 16
- $\left(\left(\left((00)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{*}$ versus
- (0000000000000000)*
- but obviously squaring does not add expressiveness


## More succinct means more difficult to handle

Non-deterministic finite automata

- NFAs can be exponentially more succinct than DFAs
- but equally expressive
- example: $k$-last symbol is 1
- complementation, equivalence are polynomial for DFAs and exponential for NFAs


## Succinct Boolean formulas

Consider the following formula where $\psi=x \vee y \vee \bar{z}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& (x \wedge y \wedge \psi) \\
\wedge & (x \wedge \bar{y} \wedge \psi) \\
\wedge & (\bar{x} \wedge y \wedge \psi) \\
\wedge & (\bar{x} \wedge \bar{y} \wedge \psi)
\end{array}
$$

Formula is satisfiable iff $\exists z \forall x \forall y . \psi$ is true, where variables range over $\{0,1\}$.
$\Rightarrow$ Quantified Boolean Formulas

## Quantified Boolean Formulas

## Definition (QBF)

A quantified Boolean formula is a formula of the form

$$
Q_{1} x_{1} Q_{2} x_{2} \ldots Q_{n} x_{n} \varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

- where each $Q_{i} \in\{\forall, \exists\}$
- each $x_{i}$ ranges over $\{0,1\}$
- $\varphi$ is quantifier-free
- wlog we can assume prenex form
- formulas are closed, ie. each QBF is true or false
- QBF $=\{\varphi \mid \varphi$ is a true QBF $\}$
- if all $Q_{i}=\exists$, we obtain SAT as a special case
- if all $Q_{i}=\forall$, we obtain Tautology as a special case


## QBF is in PSPACE

Polynomial space algorithm to decide QBF

```
qbfsolve(\psi)
    if \psi is quantifier-free
        return evaluation of }
    if }\psi=Qx.\mp@subsup{\psi}{}{\prime
    if Q=ヨ
        if qbfsolve( }\mp@subsup{\psi}{}{\prime}[x\mapsto0])\mathrm{ return true
        if qbfsolve( }\mp@subsup{\psi}{}{\prime}[x\mapsto1])\mathrm{ return true
    if Q = \forall
        b
        b}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{2}}{2}{=qbfsolve(\mp@subsup{\psi}{}{\prime}[x\mapsto1])
        return b}\mp@subsup{b}{1}{}\wedge\mp@subsup{b}{2}{
    return false
```

- each recursive call can re-use same space!
- qbsolve uses at most $O\left(|\psi|^{2}\right)$ space


## Generalized Geography

- children's game, where people take turn naming cities
- next city must start with previous city's final letter
- as in München $\rightarrow$ Nürnberg
- no repetitions
- lost if no more choices left

Formalization
Given a graph and a node, players take turns choosing an unvisited adjacent node until no longer possible.
$G G=\{\langle G, u\rangle \mid$ player 1 has winning strategy from node $u$ in $G\}$

## GG $\in$ PSPACE

and here is the algorithm to prove it:
ggsolve(G, u)
if $u$ has no outgoing edge return false
remove $u$ and its adjacent edges from $G$ to obtain $G^{\prime}$
for each $u_{i}$ adjacent to $u$

$$
b_{i}=\operatorname{ggsolve}\left(G^{\prime}, u_{i}\right)
$$

return $\bigwedge_{i} \overline{b_{i}}$

- stack depth 1 for recursion implies polynomial space
- QBF $\leq_{p}$ GG (see transparency)


## Agenda

- Wrap-up Ladner proof and time vs. space $\checkmark$
- succinctness $\checkmark$
- QBF and GG $\checkmark$
- PSPACE completeness
- QBF is PSPACE-complete
- Savitch's theorem


## PSPACE-completness

## Definition (PSPACE-completeness)

Language $L$ is PSPACE-hard if for every $L^{\prime} \in$ PSPACE $L^{\prime} \leq_{p} L . L$ is PSPACE-complete if $L \in$ PSPACE and $L$ is PSPACE-hard.

## QBF is PSPACE-complete

## Theorem

QBF is PSPACE-complete.

- have already shown that QBF $\in$ PSPACE
- need to show that every problem $L \in$ PSPACE is polynomial-time reducible to QBF


## Proof

- let $L \in$ PSPACE arbitrary
- $L \in \operatorname{SPACE}(s(n))$ for polynomial $s$
- $m \in O(s(n))$ : bits needed to encode configuration $C$
- exists Boolean formula $\varphi_{M, x}$ with size $O(m)$ such that $\varphi_{M, x}\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)=1$ iff $C, C^{\prime} \in\{0,1\}^{m}$ encode adjacent configurations; see Cook-Levin
- define QBF $\psi$ such that $\psi\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)$ is true iff there is a path in $G(M, x)$ from $C$ to $C^{\prime}$
- $\psi\left(C_{\text {start }}, C_{\text {accept }}\right)$ is true iff $M$ accepts $x$


## Proof - cont'd

Define $\psi$ inductively!

- $\psi_{i}\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)$ : there is a path of length at most $2^{i}$ from $C$ to $C^{\prime}$
- $\psi=\psi_{m}$ and $\psi_{0}=\varphi_{M, x}$

$$
\psi_{i}\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)=\exists C^{\prime \prime} . \psi_{i-1}\left(C, C^{\prime \prime}\right) \wedge \psi_{i-1}\left(C^{\prime \prime}, C^{\prime}\right)
$$

might be exponential size, therefore use equivalent

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i}\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)= & \exists C^{\prime \prime} \cdot \forall D_{1} \cdot \forall D_{2} . \\
& \left(\left(D_{1}=C \wedge D_{2}=C^{\prime \prime}\right) \vee\left(D_{1}=C^{\prime \prime} \wedge D_{2}=C^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \Rightarrow \psi_{i-1}\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Size of $\psi$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i}\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)= & \exists C^{\prime \prime} \cdot \forall D_{1} \cdot \forall D_{2} . \\
& \left(\left(D_{1}=C \wedge D_{2}=C^{\prime \prime}\right) \vee\left(D_{1}=C^{\prime \prime} \wedge D_{2}=C^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \Rightarrow \psi_{i-1}\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- $C^{\prime \prime}$ stands for $m$ variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow\left|\psi_{i}\right|=\left|\psi_{i-1}\right|+O(m) \\
& \Rightarrow|\psi| \in O\left(m^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Observations and consequences

- GG is PSPACE-complete
- if PSPACE $\neq$ NP then QBF and GG have no short certificates
- note: proof does not make use of outdegree of $G(M, x)$
$\Rightarrow$ QBF is NPSPACE-complete
$\Rightarrow$ NPSPACE $=$ PSPACE!
- in fact, the same reasoning can be used to prove a stronger result


## Savitch's Theorem

## Theorem (Savitch)

For every space-constructible $s: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $s(n) \geq \log n$ $\operatorname{NSPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \operatorname{SPACE}\left(s(n)^{2}\right)$.

## Proof

Let $M$ be a NDTM accepting $L$. Let $G(M, x)$ be its configuration graph of size $m O\left(2^{s(n)}\right)$ such that each node is represented using log $m$ space.
$M$ accepts $x$ iff there is a path of length at most $m$ from $C_{\text {start }}$ to $C_{\text {accept }}$.

Consider the following algorithm reach(u,v,i) to determine whether there is a path from $u$ to $v$ of length at most $2^{i}$.

- for each node $z$ of $M$
- $b_{1}=\operatorname{reach}(u, z, i-1)$
- $b_{2}=\operatorname{reach}(z, v, i-1)$
- return $b_{1} \wedge b_{2}$
$\Rightarrow \operatorname{reach}\left(C_{\text {start }}, C_{\text {accept }}, m\right)$ takes space $O\left((\log m)^{2}\right)=O\left(s(n)^{2}\right)!$


## Further Reading

- L. J. Stockmeyer and A. R. Meyer. Word problems requiring exponential time. Proceedings of the 5th Symposium on Theory of Computing, pages 1-9, 1973
- contains the original proof of PSPACE completeness of QBF
- PSPACE-completeness of NFA equivalence
- regular expression equivalence with squaring is EXPSPACE-complete: http://people.csail.mit.edu/meyer/rsq.pdf
- Gilbert, Lengauer, Tarjan The Pebbling Problem is Complete in Polynomial Space. SIAM Journal on Computing, Volume 9, Issue 3, 1980, pages 513-524.
- http://www.qbflib.org/
- tools (solvers)
- many QBF models from verification, games, planning
- competitions
- PSPACE-completeness of Hex, Atomix, Gobang, Chess
- W.J.Savitch Relationship between nondeterministic and


## What have we learnt

- succinctness leads to more difficult problems
- PSPACE: computable in polynomial space (deterministically)
- PSPACE-completeness defined in terms of polynomial Karp reductions
- canonical PSPACE-complete problem: QBF generalizes SAT
- other complete problems: generalized geography, chess, Hex, Sokoban, Reversi, NFA equivalence, regular expressions equivalence
- PSPACE ~ winning strategies in games rather than short certificates
- PSPACE = NPSPACE
- Savitch: non-deterministic space can be simulated by deterministic space with quadratic overhead (by path enumeration in configuration graph)
Up next: NL

