

## Complexity Theory – Homework 8

Discussed on 23.06.2010.

### Exercise 8.1

Give an interactive proof protocol for graph isomorphism and show that your protocol satisfies the completeness and soundness requirements.

Can you give a zero-knowledge one, too?

### Exercise 8.2

Let  $p$  be a prime number. An integer  $a$  is then a quadratic residue modulo  $p$  if there is some integer  $b$  s.t.  $a \equiv b^2 \pmod{p}$ .

(a) Show that  $\text{QR} := \{(a, p) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid a \text{ is a quadratic residue modulo } p\}$  is in **NP**.

(b) Set  $\text{QNR} := \{(a, p) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid a \text{ is not a quadratic residue modulo } p\}$ .

Complete the following sketch to an interactive proof protocol for QNR and show its completeness and soundness:

- i) Input: integer  $a$  and prime  $p$ .
- ii) The verifier chooses  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  and  $b \in \{0, 1\}$  uniformly at random, keeping both secret.
  - i. If  $b = 0$ , the verifier sends  $r^2 \pmod{p}$  to the prover.
  - ii. If  $b = 1$ , the verifier sends  $ar^2 \pmod{p}$  to the prover.
- iii) ...

### Exercise 8.3

Show that *perfect soundness* collapses the class **IP** to **NP**, where perfect soundness means soundness with error probability 0.

### Exercise 8.4

A *probabilistic alternating* Turing machine (short: PATM) is a tuple  $(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}, Q_{\exists}, \Gamma, \delta_0, \delta_1)$  where

- $Q := Q_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup Q_{\exists}$  is the set of control states. ( $Q_{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $Q_{\exists}$  are required to be disjoint.)
- $\Gamma$  is the alphabet.
- $\delta_0, \delta_1$  are two transition functions.

A run of a PATM  $M = (Q_{\frac{1}{2}}, Q_{\exists}, \Gamma, \delta_0, \delta_1)$  on a given input  $x$  is simply a run by the underlying NDTM defined by  $(Q_{\frac{1}{2}} \cup Q_{\exists}, \Gamma, \delta_0, \delta_1)$ . In particular,  $M$  runs in time  $T(n)$  if every run on input  $x$  takes time at most  $T(|x|)$ , i.e., the computation tree of  $M$  on input  $x$  has height at most  $T(|x|)$ . (Recall the inductive definition of configuration tree: starting from the initial configuration on input  $x$  (the root), every inner node of the tree is a non-halting configuration  $c$  of  $M$  which has exactly two childrens  $\delta_0(c)$  and  $\delta_1(c)$ , even if  $\delta_0(c) = \delta_1(c)$ .)

The intuition of a PATM is that it combines randomization with nondeterminism: in a configuration with a control state contained in  $Q_{\exists}$  a PATM basically explores both possible successors in parallel, while in a configuration with control state in  $Q_{\frac{1}{2}}$  it chooses on of the two possible successors uniformly at random. More formally, the probability that  $M$  accepts  $x$  ( $\Pr[M(x) = 1]$ ) is then defined by labeling the computation tree bottom-up as follows:

- A leaf is labeled by 1 if it corresponds to a accepting configuration, otherwise it is labeled by 0.
- An inner node which corresponds to a control state from  $Q_{\frac{1}{2}}$  is labeled by the average of the labels of its two children;
- while an inner node corresponding to a control state from  $Q_{\exists}$  is labeled by the maximum of its two children.

The label of the root of the computation tree of  $M$  on input  $x$  is then the probability that  $M$  accepts  $x$ , short  $\Pr[M(x) = 1]$ . Similarly,  $\Pr[M(x) = 0] := 1 - \Pr[M(x) = 1]$ .

(a) Show that for every poly-time PATM  $M$  there is a poly-time PATM  $N$  s.t.:

- $\Pr[M(x) = 1] = \Pr[N(x) = 1]$  for all  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ .
- Every run of  $N$  on a given input  $x$  takes time exactly  $2|x|^k$  for some  $k > 0$ .
- Every inner node with control state in  $Q_{\frac{1}{2}}$  ( $Q_{\exists}$ ) has only children with control state in  $Q_{\exists}$  ( $Q_{\frac{1}{2}}$ ).

(b) Let  $M = (Q_{\exists}, Q_{\forall}, \Gamma, \delta_0, \delta_1)$  be a poly-time ATM deciding the language  $L$ . We can reinterpret  $M$  also a PATM by setting  $Q_{\frac{1}{2}} := Q_{\forall}$ . Show that

$$x \in L \Leftrightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] = 1.$$

(c) The class **APP** is defined as follows:

A language  $L$  is contained in **APP** if there is a poly-time PATM  $M$  s.t.

$$x \in L \Leftrightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] \geq 3/4.$$

- Show that **APP**  $\subseteq$  **PSPACE** by adapting the **PSPACE**-algorithm for deciding QSAT.
- Show that **PSPACE**  $\subseteq$  **APP** by adapting the proof of **NP**  $\subseteq$  **PP** given in the lecture.

*Hint:* Recall that **AP** = **PSPACE**, i.e., for every  $L \in \mathbf{PSPACE}$  there is a poly-time alternating Turing machine deciding  $L$ . Now copy the construction from the proof of **NP**  $\subseteq$  **PP** in order to obtain from a poly-time ATM a poly-time PATM  $M$  with  $x \in L \Leftrightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] \geq 3/4$ .

(d) The class **ABPP** is defined as follows:

A language  $L$  is contained in **ABPP** if there is a poly-time PATM  $M$  s.t.

$$x \in L \Rightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] \geq 3/4 \text{ and } x \notin L \Rightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] \leq 1/4.$$

Obviously, we have **ABPP**  $\subseteq$  **APP**.

- Show that **ABPP** = **IP** = **APP** = **PSPACE**.

*Hint:* You already know **ABPP** from the lecture by some other name.

(e) Assume we extend the definition of PATMs by partitioning the control states into three classes  $Q_{\frac{1}{2}}, Q_{\exists}, Q_{\forall}$ ; the acceptance probability  $\Pr[M(x) = 1]$  is defined as above where the value of a node corresponding to a control state of  $Q_{\forall}$  is defined to be the minimum of the values of its two children. Call such a Turing machine a PAATM.

- Using PAATMs define the complexity classes **AAPP** and **AABPP** analogously to **APP** and **ABPP**.

Discuss how these relate to **APP**, **PP**, **ABPP**, **BPP**, **AP**, **PSPACE**, **IP**, **AM**.