

Automata and Formal Languages — Homework 10

Due 08.01.2019

Exercise 10.1

Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$. Give formulations in plain English of the languages described by the following formulas of $\text{FO}(\Sigma)$, and give a corresponding regular expression:

- (a) $\exists x. \text{first}(x)$
- (b) $\forall x. \text{first}(x)$
- (c) $\neg \exists x. \exists y. (x < y \wedge Q_a(x) \wedge Q_b(y)) \wedge \forall x. (Q_b(x) \rightarrow \exists y. x < y \wedge Q_a(y)) \wedge \exists x. \neg \exists y. x < y$

Exercise 10.2

Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$. Give an $\text{MSO}(\Sigma)$ sentence for the following languages:

- (a) The set of words with an a at every odd position.
- (b) The set of words with an even number of occurrences of a 's.
- (c) The set of words of odd length with an even number of occurrences of a 's.

Exercise 10.3

Give a $\text{MSO}(\Sigma)$ formula $\text{Odd_Card}(X)$ expressing that the cardinality of the set of positions X is odd.

Exercise 10.4

Consider the logic $\text{PureMSO}(\Sigma)$ with syntax

$$\varphi := X \subseteq Q_a \mid X < Y \mid X \subseteq Y \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \vee \psi \mid \exists X. \varphi$$

Notice that formulas of $\text{PureMSO}(\Sigma)$ do not contain first-order variables. The satisfaction relation of $\text{PureMSO}(\Sigma)$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} (w, \mathcal{J}) \models X \subseteq Q_a & \text{ iff } w[p] = a \text{ for every } p \in \mathcal{J}(X) \\ (w, \mathcal{J}) \models X < Y & \text{ iff } p < p' \text{ for every } p \in \mathcal{J}(X), p' \in \mathcal{J}(Y) \\ (w, \mathcal{J}) \models X \subseteq Y & \text{ iff } p \in \mathcal{J}(Y) \text{ for every } p \in \mathcal{J}(X) \end{aligned}$$

with the rest as for $\text{MSO}(\Sigma)$.

Prove that $\text{MSO}(\Sigma)$ and $\text{PureMSO}(\Sigma)$ have the same expressive power for sentences. That is, show that for every sentence ϕ of $\text{MSO}(\Sigma)$ there is an equivalent sentence ψ of $\text{PureMSO}(\Sigma)$, and vice versa.

Exercise 10.5

Let Σ be a finite alphabet. A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is *star-free* if it can be expressed by a star-free regular expression, i.e. a regular expression where the Kleene star operation is forbidden, but complementation is allowed. For example, Σ^* is star-free since $\Sigma^* = \overline{\emptyset}$, but $(aa)^*$ is not.

- (a) Give star-free regular expressions and $\text{FO}(\Sigma)$ sentences for the following star-free languages:

- (i) Σ^+ .
- (ii) $\Sigma^*A\Sigma^*$ for some $A \subseteq \Sigma$.
- (iii) A^* for some $A \subseteq \Sigma$.
- (iv) $(ab)^*$.
- (v) $\{w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ does not contain } aa \}$.

(b) Show that finite and cofinite languages are star-free.

(c) Show that for every sentence $\varphi \in \text{FO}(\Sigma)$, there exists a formula $\varphi^+(x, y)$, with two free variables x and y , such that for every $w \in \Sigma^+$ and for every $1 \leq i \leq j \leq w$,

$$w \models \varphi^+(i, j) \quad \text{iff} \quad w_i w_{i+1} \cdots w_j \models \varphi .$$

(d) Give a polynomial time algorithm that decides whether the empty word satisfies a given sentence of $\text{FO}(\Sigma)$.

(e) Show that every star-free language can be expressed by an $\text{FO}(\Sigma)$ sentence.