## Automata and Formal Languages - Homework 3

## Exercise 3.1

Let $A$ and $B$ be respectively the following DFAs:

(a) Compute the language partitions of $A$ and $B$.
(b) Construct the quotients of $A$ and $B$ with respect to their language partitions.
(c) Give regular expressions for $L(A)$ and $L(B)$.

## Exercise 3.2

A DFA $A=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ is reversible if no letter can enter a state from two distinct states, i.e. for every $p, q \in Q$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$, if $\delta(p, \sigma)=\delta(q, \sigma)$, then $p=q$.
(a) Give a reversible DFA that accepts $L=\{a b, b a, b b\}$.
(b) Show that the minimal DFA accepting $L$ is not reversible.
(c) Is there a unique minimal reversible DFA accepting $L$ (up to isomorphism)? Justify your answer.

## Exercise 3.3

Let $A$ and $B$ be respectively the following NFAs:

(a) Compute the coarsest stable refinements (CSR) of $A$ and $B$.
(b) Construct the quotients of $A$ and $B$ with respect to their CSRs.
(c) Are the obtained automata minimal?

## Exercise 3.4

Convert the following NFA- $\varepsilon$ to an NFA using the algorithm NFAعtoNFA from the lecture notes (see Sect. 2.3, p. 31). You may verify your answer with the Python program nfa-eps2nfa.


## Solution 3.1

A) (a)

| Iter. | Block to split | Splitter | New partition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | - | - | $\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{5}, q_{6}\right\},\left\{q_{4}\right\}$ |
| 1 | $\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{5}, q_{6}\right\}$ | $\left(b,\left\{q_{4}\right\}\right)$ | $\left\{q_{0}, q_{2}, q_{6}\right\},\left\{q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{5}\right\},\left\{q_{4}\right\}$ |
| 2 | none, partition is stable | - | - |

The language partition is $P_{\ell}=\left\{\left\{q_{0}, q_{2}, q_{6}\right\},\left\{q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{5}\right\},\left\{q_{4}\right\}\right\}$.
(b)

(c) $(a+b)^{*} a b$.
B) (a)

| Iter. | Block to split | Splitter | New partition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | - | - | $\left\{q_{0}, q_{3}\right\},\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{4}\right\}$ |
| 1 | $\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{4}\right\}$ | $\left(b,\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{4}\right\}\right)$ | $\left\{q_{0}, q_{3}\right\},\left\{q_{1}\right\},\left\{q_{2}, q_{4}\right\}$ |
| 2 | $\left\{q_{2}, q_{4}\right\}$ | $\left(a,\left\{q_{0}, q_{3}\right\}\right)$ | $\left\{q_{0}, q_{3}\right\},\left\{q_{1}\right\},\left\{q_{2}\right\},\left\{q_{4}\right\}$ |
| 3 | none, partition is stable | - | - |

The language partition is $P_{\ell}=\left\{\left\{q_{0}, q_{3}\right\},\left\{q_{1}\right\},\left\{q_{2}\right\},\left\{q_{4}\right\}\right\}$.
(b)

(c) $\left((a a)^{*}(b b)^{*}\right)^{*}$ or $(a a+b b)^{*}$.

## Solution 3.2

(a)

(b) By minimizing the previous reversible DFA, we obtain the following DFA which is not reversible since $b$ enters the final state twice.

(c) No. The two following non isomorphic automata are both minimal reversible DFAs accepting $L$.


Note that, even though minimal reversible DFAs need not to be unique, testing whether a reversible DFA is minimal, and minimizing reversible DFAs can both be done in polynomial time. [1].

## Solution 3.3

A) (a)

| Iter. | Block to split | Splitter | New partition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | - | - | $\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right\},\left\{q_{5}\right\}$ |
| 1 | $\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right\}$ | $\left(a,\left\{q_{5}\right\}\right)$ | $\left\{q_{0}\right\},\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right\},\left\{q_{5}\right\}$ |
| 2 | none, partition is stable | - | - |

The CSR is $P=\left\{\left\{q_{0}\right\},\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right\},\left\{q_{5}\right\}\right\}$.
(b)

(c) Yes. The language accepted by the NFA is $L=a(a+b)^{*} a$. An NFA with one state can only accept $\emptyset,\{\varepsilon\}, a^{*}, b^{*}$ and $\{a, b\}^{*}$. Suppose there exists an NFA $A=\left(\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}\right\},\{a, b\}, \delta, Q_{0}, F\right)$ accepting $L$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $q_{0}$ is initial. $A$ must respect the following properties:

- $q_{0} \notin F$, since $\varepsilon \notin L$,
- $q_{1} \in F$, since $L \neq \emptyset$,
- $q_{1} \in \delta\left(q_{0}, a\right)$, otherwise it is impossible to accept $a a$ which is in $L$.

This implies that $A$ accepts $a$, yet $a \notin L$. Therefore, no two states NFA accepts $L$.
B) (a)

| Iter. | Block to split | Splitter | New partition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | - | - | $\left\{q_{1}, q_{3}\right\},\left\{q_{2}, q_{4}\right\}$ |
| 1 | $\left\{q_{1}, q_{3}\right\}$ | $\left(a,\left\{q_{2}, q_{4}\right\}\right)$ | $\left\{q_{1}\right\},\left\{q_{2}, q_{4}\right\},\left\{q_{3}\right\}$ |
| 2 | $\left\{q_{2}, q_{4}\right\}$ | $\left(a,\left\{q_{3}\right\}\right)$ | $\left\{q_{1}\right\},\left\{q_{2}\right\},\left\{q_{3}\right\},\left\{q_{4}\right\}$ |
| 3 | none, partition is stable | - | - |

The CSR is $P=\left\{\left\{q_{1}\right\},\left\{q_{2}\right\},\left\{q_{3}\right\},\left\{q_{4}\right\}\right\}$.
(b) The automaton remains unchanged.
(c) No. We have seen that this NFA accepts $(a+a b)^{*}$ in $\# 2.1$ of the second exercise sheet, and we have constructed a two states DFA for this language:


Solution 3.4

| Iter. | $B=\left(Q^{\prime}, \Sigma, \delta^{\prime}, Q_{0}^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right)$ | $\delta^{\prime \prime}$ ( $\varepsilon$-transitions) | Workset $W$ and next ( $q_{1}, \alpha, q_{2}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  | $\{(p, \varepsilon, q),(p, \varepsilon, r)\}$ |
| 1 |  |  | $\{(p, \varepsilon, r),(p, a, q),(p, b, s)\}$ |
| 2 |  |  | $\{(p, a, q),(p, b, s),(p, \varepsilon, s)\}$ |


| -- 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ |  | (10) |
| $\bigcirc$ | $\sim_{0}^{\circ}$ | cas |
| $\bigcirc \stackrel{\text { ® }}{\sim}$ | $\sim_{0}^{\circ} \underbrace{0}_{0}$ | (man) |
| $0$ | $0<0$ |  |

The NFA $B$ obtained is:

which corresponds to the output of nfa-eps2nfa:

```
Q' = set(['q', 'p', 's'])
S = set(['a', 'b'])
d' = set([('p', 'a', 'q'), ('q', 'b', 's'), ('q', 'a', 'q'), ('p', 'b', 's')])
QO' = set(['p'])
F' = set(['p', 's'])
```
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