27.11.2013

## Automata and Formal Languages – Exercise sheet 4

## Exercise 4.1

Let  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ . We consider the languages  $L_1 = (baa^*)^*b$  and  $L_2 = \Sigma^* a \Sigma^2 a \Sigma^*$ .

- (a) Use the Thompson-construction for finding nondeterministic automata  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  with  $L_i = L(\mathcal{A}_i)$ .
- (b) Use removal of  $\varepsilon$ -transitions for constructing equivalent nondeterministic automata  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  with labels in  $\Sigma$ .
- (c) Use Brzozowski's minimization algorithm for constructing the minimal automata for  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .
- (d) Build an automaton  $\mathcal{D}$  accepting  $L_1 \setminus L_2$  and minimize it.
- (e) Use state elimination for constructing a rational expression for  $L_1 \setminus L_2$ .

## Exercise 4.2

We use binary encoding of nonnegative integers with the least significant bit first.

- (a) Give an automaton accepting the solutions of 3x 5y > 2.
- (b) Give an automaton accepting the solutions of the formula  $x + 2y \equiv 0 \mod 7$ .
- (c) Let  $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ . Give an algorithm to build the automaton accepting solutions of  $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i x_i = c$ .
- (d) Use the automata theoretic approach for showing that  $\forall x \exists y \exists z : -x + 2y + 3z = 2$  is true for  $\mathbb{N}$ .

## Exercise 4.3

Show that the true sentences in FO[Z, +] are decidable, i.e., for any given first-order sentence  $\varphi$  using atomic formulas of the form x = n for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and x + y = z, one can decide whether  $\varphi$  is true over the integers Z. For instance, the sentence  $\forall x \exists y \exists z \colon 3y + 5z = x$  is false over N but true over Z.