

Repeating Structural Results

Class Definitions

$$\begin{aligned}
 L &= DSPACE(\log n) \\
 NL &= NSPACE(\log n) \\
 P &= \bigcup_{c=1}^{\infty} DTIME(n^c) \\
 NP &= \bigcup_{c=1}^{\infty} NTIME(n^c) \\
 PSPACE &= \bigcup_{c=1}^{\infty} DSPACE(n^c) \\
 NPSPACE &= \bigcup_{c=1}^{\infty} NSPACE(n^c) \\
 EXP &= \bigcup_{c=1}^{\infty} DTIME(2^{n^c}) \\
 NEXP &= \bigcup_{c=1}^{\infty} NTIME(2^{n^c})
 \end{aligned}$$

Relationships (By Definition)

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 L \subseteq NL & L \subseteq PSPACE \\
 P \subseteq NP & NL \subseteq NPSPACE \\
 PSPACE \subseteq NSPACE & P \subseteq EXP \\
 EXP \subseteq NEXP & NP \subseteq NEXP \\
 \text{Determinism} & \text{Exponentially} \\
 \text{vs.} & \text{Higher Bound} \\
 \text{Nondeterminism} &
 \end{array}$$

Three Structural Theorems

$$NTIME(f(n)) \subseteq DSPACE(f(n))$$

$$NSPACE(f(n)) \subseteq DTIME(c^{\log n + f(n)})$$

$$NSPACE(f(n)) \subseteq DSPACE(f^2(n))$$

$f(n) \geq \log n$

f time/space constructible

NTIME vs. DSPACE: Proof I

$$NTIME(f(n)) \subseteq DSPACE(f(n))$$

Let M be an NTM running in time $f(n)$.
 In each step, M can make a single nondeterministic decision.
 However, M can only choose out of c_M continuations in a step.
 Thus, \bar{M} enumerates all possible choices, taking space $c_M f(n)$.
 This string is then used by \bar{M} as a lookup-table
 whenever M is taking a nondet. choice.

NTIME vs. DSPACE: Proof II

Thus, \bar{M} enumerates all possible choices, taking space $c_M f(n)$.
 This string is then used by \bar{M} as a lookup-table
 whenever M is taking a nondet. choice.

For each enumerated choice-string, \bar{M} simulates M .
 If M accepts in one of these simulations, \bar{M} accepts, too.
 Otherwise, \bar{M} rejects.

\bar{M} requires $c_M f(n) + f(n)$ space, i.e. $\bar{M} \in DSPACE(f(n))$. •

NSPACE vs. DTIME: Proof I

$$\text{NSPACE}(f(n)) \subseteq \text{DTIME}(c^{\log n + f(n)})$$

Let M be an NTM running in space $f(n)$.

A configuration of M has the following parts:

- the state $k \in K_M$ of M
- the cursor position $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ of M
- the contents $\langle s_1, \dots, s_j \rangle$ of the tapes of $M : s_j \in \Sigma^{f(n)}$

Thus, there are $|K_M| \cdot (n+1) \cdot |\Sigma|^{f(n)}$ different configs.

Using C_M we find at most $C_M^{\log n + f(n)}$ configs.

NSPACE vs. DTIME: Proof II

Using C_M we find at most $C_M^{\log n + f(n)}$ configs.

Now we define $G_x^M = \langle V, E \rangle$ with $V = \{\text{configs. of } M\}$ and $\langle u, v \rangle \in E$ iff there is a direct transition from u to v on input x .

Define $s \in V$ to be the initial config of M and $t \in V$ to be the final config of M (normalization).

$\langle G_x^M, s, t \rangle$ is a REACH instance with $C_M^{\log n + f(n)}$ nodes.

$\langle G_x^M, s, t \rangle \in \text{REACH}$ iff $M(x) = 1$

NSPACE vs. DTIME: Proof III

$\langle G_x^M, s, t \rangle$ is a REACH instance with $C_M^{\log n + f(n)}$ nodes.

$\langle G_x^M, s, t \rangle \in \text{REACH}$ iff $M(x) = 1$

REACH $\in P$. Thus we can decide $\langle G_x^M, s, t \rangle \in \text{REACH}$ in $\text{DTIME}((C_M^{\log n + f(n)})^k)$ for some constant k .

$$\text{DTIME}((C_M^{\log n + f(n)})^k) = \text{DTIME}(c^{\log n + f(n)}) \quad \bullet$$

NSPACE vs. DTIME A Note on the Proof

$\langle G_x^M, s, t \rangle$ is a REACH instance with $C_M^{\log n + f(n)}$ nodes.

$\langle G_x^M, s, t \rangle \in \text{REACH}$ iff $M(x) = 1$

The method of representing a space-bounded computation by a graph G_x^M is called the "Reachability-Method".

Effectively, this is a generic reduction!

REACH is NL-hard.

We will come back to this issue – and clarify in detail!

NSPACE vs. DSPACE: Proof I

$$\text{NSPACE}(f(n)) \subseteq \text{DSPACE}(f^2(n))$$

$$f(n) \geq \log n$$

$\langle G_x^M, s, t \rangle$ is a REACH instance with $C_M^{\log n + f(n)}$ nodes.

$\langle G_x^M, s, t \rangle \in \text{REACH}$ iff $M(x) = 1$

since $f(n) \geq \log n$

$\langle G_x^M, s, t \rangle$ is a REACH instance with $C^{f(n)}$ nodes.

$\langle G_x^M, s, t \rangle \in \text{REACH}$ iff $M(x) = 1$

NSPACE vs. DSPACE: Proof II

$\langle G_x^M, s, t \rangle$ is a REACH instance with $C^{f(n)}$ nodes.

$\langle G_x^M, s, t \rangle \in \text{REACH}$ iff $M(x) = 1$

We cannot compute the graph – it is exponential!
So how to access it?

We can compute the configurations s and t .

Having two nodes u and v , we check $\langle u, v \rangle \in E$ by simulating M on u with input string x .

NSPACE vs. DSPACE: Proof III

```

PATH(G,i,j,d)
  if <i,j> ∈ E then return true;
  if d = 0 then return false;
  for(z=1; z < |V|; ++z)
    if PATH(G,i,z,d-1) and PATH(G,z,j,d-1) then
      return true;
  return false;
    
```

$PATH(G,i,j,d)$ is true iff \exists a path from i to j of length $\leq 2^d$
 $PATH(G,s,t,\lceil \log |V| \rceil)$ iff $\langle G,s,t \rangle \in REACH$

NSPACE vs. DSPACE: Proof IV

```

PATH(G,i,j,d)
  if <i,j> ∈ E then return true;
  if d = 0 then return false;
  for(z=1; z < |V|; ++z)
    if PATH(G,i,z,d-1) and PATH(G,z,j,d-1) then
      return true;
  return false;
    
```

Recursive depth of at most d
 Each "stack-frame" has size $3 \log |V|$
 $PATH(G,s,t,\lceil \log |V| \rceil)$ requires $3 \log^2 |V|$ space

NSPACE vs. DSPACE: Proof V

$\langle G_x^M, s, t \rangle$ is a $REACH$ instance with $C_M^{f(n)}$ nodes.
 $\langle G_x^M, s, t \rangle \in REACH$ iff $M(x) = 1$

$PATH(G,s,t,\lceil \log |V| \rceil)$ iff $\langle G,s,t \rangle \in REACH$

$PATH(G,s,t,\lceil \log |V| \rceil)$ requires $3 \log^2 |V|$ space

Taken together: $M(x) = 1$ can be decided in
 $DSPACE(3 \log^2(C_M^{f(n)})) = DSPACE(f^2(n))$ •

Three Structural Theorems: Consequences

$NTIME(f(n)) \subseteq DSPACE(f(n))$

$NP \subseteq PSPACE$

$NSPACE(f(n)) \subseteq DTIME(c^{\log n + f(n)})$

$NL \subseteq P$
 $NSPACE \subseteq EXP$

$NSPACE(f(n)) \subseteq DSPACE(f^2(n))$
 $f(n) \geq \log n$

$NSPACE \subseteq PSPACE$

f time/space constructible

Relationships

$L \subseteq NL$

$L \subseteq PSPACE$

$P \subseteq NP$

$NL \subseteq NSPACE$

$PSPACE \subseteq NSPACE$

$P \subseteq EXP$

$EXP \subseteq NEXP$

$NP \subseteq NEXP$

Determinism
vs.
Nondeterminism

Exponentially
Higher Bound

Relationships

$L \subseteq NL$

$NL \subseteq P$

$P \subseteq NP$

$NP \subseteq PSPACE$

$PSPACE \subseteq NSPACE$

$NSPACE \subseteq PSPACE$

$EXP \subseteq NEXP$

$NSPACE \subseteq EXP$

Determinism
vs.
Nondeterminism

The three Theorems

Relationships

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 L \subseteq NL & NL \subseteq P \\
 P \subseteq NP & NP \subseteq PSPACE \\
 PSPACE \subseteq NSPACE & NSPACE \subseteq PSPACE \\
 EXP \subseteq NEXP & NSPACE \subseteq EXP
 \end{array}$$

$$L \subseteq NL \subseteq P \subseteq NP \subseteq PSPACE \subseteq EXP \subseteq NEXP$$

Diagonalization

Time Hierarchy Theorem

$$DTIME(f(n)) \subset DTIME(f(n)^c)$$

$f(n) \geq n$, time constr., for some $c > 1$

Bounded Simulation

$$\text{Let } B_f^{DTIME} = \{ \langle M, x \rangle \mid M(x) = 1 \text{ within } DTIME(f(|x|)) \}$$

$$B_f^{DTIME} \in DTIME(s[f](n))$$

$$f(n) \geq n, \text{ time constr.}$$

Time Hierarchy: Proof I

$$\text{Let } B_f^{DTIME} = \{ \langle M, x \rangle \mid M(x) = 1 \text{ within } DTIME(f(|x|)) \}$$

$$B_f^{DTIME} \in DTIME(s[f](n)) \quad (\text{Bounded Simulation})$$

$$\text{Set } D_f^{DTIME} = \{ \langle M \mid \langle M, M \rangle \notin B_f^{DTIME} \}$$

Let N be an arbitrary Machine in $DTIME(f(n))$

$$N \in L_N \Leftrightarrow \langle N, N \rangle \in B_f^{DTIME}$$

$$N \in L_N \Leftrightarrow N \notin D_f^{DTIME}$$

$$D_f^{DTIME} \notin DTIME(f(n)) \quad D_f^{DTIME} \in DTIME(s[f](2n+1))$$

Time Hierarchy: Proof II

$$\text{Let } B_f^{DTIME} = \{ \langle M, x \rangle \mid M(x) = 1 \text{ within } DTIME(f(|x|)) \}$$

$$B_f^{DTIME} \in DTIME(s[f](n)) \quad (\text{Bounded Simulation})$$

$$D_f^{DTIME} \notin DTIME(f(n)) \quad D_f^{DTIME} \in DTIME(s[f](2n+1))$$

$$DTIME(f(n)) \subset DTIME(s[f](2n+1))$$

There are several bounded simulation results. It is important to us that $s[f](n)$ is bounded by a polynomial in f .

E.g., $s[f](n) = f^3(n)$, for $f(n) \geq n$

$$DTIME(f(n)) \subset DTIME(f^3(2n+1))$$

Time Hierarchy Theorem

$$DTIME(f(n)) \subset DTIME(f(n)^c)$$

$$f(n) \geq n, \text{ time constr., for some } c > 1$$

... constants do not matter, only the polynomial relationship is important

Hierarchy Theorem: Reusing the Proof

$$D_j^{RES} \notin RES(f(n)) \quad D_j^{RES} \in RES(s[f](2n+1))$$

The last proof was generic – every deterministic bounded simulation can be substituted.

$$B_f^{DSPACE} \in DSPACE(f(n) \log f(n))$$

$$DSPACE(f(n)) \subset DSPACE(f(n) \log f(n))$$

Also possible to prove a hierarchy for NTIME, NSPACE....

Hierarchy Theorems: Relativization

$$D_j^{RES} \notin RES(f(n)) \quad D_j^{RES} \in RES(s[f](2n+1))$$

... holds with respect to any oracle A, i.e.,

$$DTIME^A(f(n)) \subset DTIME^A(f^c(n))$$

$$DSPACE^A(f(n)) \subset DSPACE^A(f(n) \log f(n))$$

Relationships

$$L \subseteq PSPACE$$

$$NL \subseteq NPSPACE$$

$$P \subseteq EXP$$

$$NP \subseteq NEXP$$

$$L \subseteq NL \subseteq P \subseteq NP \subseteq PSPACE \subseteq EXP \subseteq NEXP$$

Relationships

$L \subseteq PSPACE$
 $NL \subseteq NPSPACE$
 $P \subseteq EXP$
 $NP \subseteq NEXP$

$$L \subseteq NL \subseteq P \subseteq NP \subseteq PSPACE \subseteq EXP \subseteq NEXP$$

Relationships

$$L \subseteq NL \subseteq P \subseteq NP \subseteq PSPACE \subseteq EXP \subseteq NEXP$$

$$NL \subset PSPACE \quad P \subset EXP \quad NP \subset NEXP$$

Thus there must be proper inclusions. But nobody was able to find them...

Time Constructible

- computing f should be easy
- more precisely doable in $DTIME(f)$
- a machine should be able to measure the “time”
- “yard-stick” machines

Time Constructible Definition

Let f be a function $N \rightarrow N$ with

$$f(n+1) \geq f(n)$$

If there is a DTM M which outputs $1^{f(n)}$ on input x ($|x|=n$) and runs within $DTIME(n + f(n))$

then f is time constructible

Space Constructible Definition

Let f be a function $N \rightarrow N$ with

$$f(n+1) \geq f(n)$$

If there is a DTM M which outputs $1^{f(n)}$ on input x ($|x|=n$) and runs within $DSPACE(f(n))$

then f is space constructible

Time/Space Constructible Functions: Examples

$$\begin{array}{ll} f(n) = c & f(n) = n! \\ f(n) = \log(n) & f(n) = \sqrt{n} \\ f(n) = n & \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} f(n) + g(n) \\ f(n)g(n) \\ f(n)^{g(n)} \end{array} \right\} f(n) \text{ and } g(n) \text{ proper} \end{array}$$

Important proper complexity functions

Time/Space Constructible What for?

Where did we need them?

Hierarchy Theorems
Theorem of Immerman

Gap-Theorem

An Anomaly: The Gap-Theorem

Let g be a recursive function $N \rightarrow N$ with $g(n+1) > g(n)$. Then there is a recursive function $f : N \rightarrow N$ with $DTIME(f(n)) = DTIME(g(f(n)))$.

Gap Theorem for DTIME

Same for DSPACE, NTIME, NSPACE...

Gap-Theorem Proof I

$$g(n+1) > g(n)$$

$$G[0](x) = g(x)$$

$$G[i+1](x) = g(G[i](x))$$

$$P(n, k) \Leftrightarrow \forall 0 \leq i \leq n \quad \forall x \in \Sigma^n$$

$$M[i](x) \text{ in } DTIME(G[k]) \text{ or}$$

$$M[i](x) \text{ notin } DTIME(G[k+1])$$

$M[i]$ enumerates the DTIMEs.

Gap-Theorem Proof II

$$g(n+1) > g(n)$$

$$G[0](x) = g(x)$$

$$G[i+1](x) = g(G[i](x))$$

$$P(n, k) \Leftrightarrow \forall 0 \leq i \leq n \quad \forall x \in \Sigma^n$$

$$M[i](x) \text{ in } DTIME(G[k]) \text{ or}$$

$$M[i](x) \text{ notin } DTIME(G[k+1])$$

G[0]	G[1]	G[2]	G[3]
$M[0](x)$	$M[1](x)$	$P(1,2) = T$	$M[0](y)$
$M[1](y)$			

Gap-Theorem Proof III

$$P(n, k) \Leftrightarrow \forall 0 \leq i \leq n \quad \forall x \in \Sigma^n$$

$$M[i](x) \text{ in } DTIME(G[k]) \text{ or}$$

$$M[i](x) \text{ notin } DTIME(G[k+1])$$

$$f(n) = G[k] \text{ where } k \text{ min. with } P(n, k) = T$$

G[0]	G[1]	G[2]	G[3]
$M[0](x)$	$M[1](x)$	$P(1,2) = T$	$M[0](y)$
$M[1](y)$			

Gap-Theorem Proof IV

$$f(n) = G[k] \text{ where } k \text{ min. with } P(n, k) = T$$

$$M[i] \text{ in } DTIME(g(f(n)))$$

then $M[i](x)$ for $|x| \geq i$ in $DTIME(f(n))$ •

G[0]	G[1]	G[2]	G[3]
$M[0](x)$	$M[1](x)$	$P(1,2) = T$	$M[0](y)$
$M[1](y)$			